

## ABEL MAPS AND PRESENTATION SCHEMES

Eduardo Esteves<sup>1</sup>

*Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada*  
*Estrada D. Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro RJ, BRAZIL*  
 E-mail: Esteves@impa.br

Mathieu Gagné

*EMC Corporation*  
*171 South Street, Hopkinton, MA 01748, USA*  
 E-mail: MGagne@emc.com

and

Steven Kleiman<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Room 2-278 MIT,*  
*77 Mass Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA*  
 E-mail: Kleiman@math.mit.edu

March 25, 2000

ABSTRACT. We sharpen the two main tools used to treat the compactified Jacobian of a singular curve: Abel maps and presentation schemes. First we prove a smoothness theorem for bigraded Abel maps. Second we study the two complementary filtrations provided by the images of certain Abel maps and certain presentation schemes. Third we study a lifting of the Abel map of bidegree  $(m, 1)$  to the corresponding presentation scheme. Fourth we prove that, if a curve is blown up at a double point, then the corresponding presentation scheme is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle. Finally, using Abel maps of bidegree  $(m, 1)$ , we characterize the curves having double points at worst.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be an integral projective curve over a field. For the most part, we'll work with flat families of such  $C$  over an arbitrary locally Noetherian base scheme. However, for the sake of simplicity in this introduction, we'll fix  $C$  and assume that the base field is algebraically closed of arbitrary characteristic. Given  $n$ , let  $J_C^n$  denote the component of the Picard scheme parameterizing invertible sheaves of degree  $n$ , and let  $\bar{J}_C^n$  denote its natural compactification by torsion-free rank-1 sheaves. These schemes are (essentially) independent of  $n$ , and they are often called the (generalized) *Jacobian* of  $C$  and its *compactified Jacobian*.

To treat  $\bar{J}_C^n$ , there are two main tools: Abel maps and presentation schemes. Abel maps were studied in [4], and presentation schemes in [5]. In the present paper, we'll advance those studies, and tie them together. Notably, we'll develop the theory we need

---

MSC-class: 14H40 (Primary) 14H20 (Secondary).

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by PRONEX and CNPq Proc. 300004/95-8 (NV).

<sup>2</sup> Supported in part by the US NSF, the Brazilian CNPq, and the Danish NSRC.

to be able in [7] to prove the following *autoduality theorem*: given an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  of degree 1 on  $C$ , the corresponding Abel map  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}: C \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^0$  induces an isomorphism,

$$A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*: \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}_C^0}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J_C^0,$$

and  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*$  is independent of  $\mathcal{I}$ , at least when  $C$  has at worst double points. (We'll discuss the Abel map next, and we'll outline the proof of the autoduality theorem at the end of the introduction.) However, in the present paper, we'll develop the theory in its natural generality, not simply in the special cases we'll need in [7]; moreover, this general theory is of independent interest.

Abel maps are forgetful maps. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $f$  on  $C$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  an invertible sheaf of degree  $m$ . By definition, the *Abel map*,

$$A_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{F}}: \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{m+f-n},$$

carries a subsheaf  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{F}$  to the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ , forgetting  $\mathcal{F}$ . In practice, two particular choices of  $\mathcal{F}$  are especially important: (1)  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C$ , whence  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^1 = C$  and  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n = \text{Hilb}_C^n$ , and (2)  $\mathcal{F} = \omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the dualizing sheaf. As above, when  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C$ , we'll write simply  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}$ .

We'll study the  $A_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{F}}$  by bundling them together, as  $\mathcal{I}$  varies but  $\mathcal{F}$  is fixed, to form a *bigraded Abel map of bidegree  $(m, n)$* ,

$$A_{\mathcal{F}}: J_C^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{m+f-n}.$$

When  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C$ , we'll write  $A_C$ . Now,  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$  extends naturally over the subset of  $\bar{J}_C^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n$  of pairs  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  such that  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{J}$  is not. By Corollary (2.6), the extension of  $A_C$  is smooth and surjective if  $C$  is Gorenstein. In [7], we'll only use  $A_C$  on  $J_C^1 \times C$ , but we'll make essential use of its smoothness, or rather, of its flatness.

Corollary (2.6) follows from Theorem (2.4), which can be formulated as follows. For any sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $C$ , set  $\mathcal{G}^* := \text{Hom}_C(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ . For any  $m$  and  $n \geq 0$ , let  $W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n}$  be the subset of  $\bar{J}_C^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n$  of pairs  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is not. Let  $g$  be the arithmetic genus of  $C$ , and define another *bigraded Abel map of bidegree  $(m, n)$* ,

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}: W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n} \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{f-2g+2+m-n} \text{ by } \alpha_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) := (\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*)^*.$$

Theorem (2.4) asserts that  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is smooth and surjective. We'll prove it by checking the infinitesimal criterion, which is usually the most manageable way to prove smoothness, especially when the source and target are not smooth.

Since  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is smooth, it's flat, so open. Hence, we can construct an open filtration of  $\bar{J}_C^n$  as follows. Fix any closed subset  $Y$  of  $C$ . Let  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^m \subset \bar{J}_C^m$  be the open subset of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y$ . Set

$$W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,k} := W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,k} \cap (\bar{J}_{C,Y}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^k) \text{ and } \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} := \alpha_{\omega}(W_{Y,\omega}^{n+k,k}).$$

Let  $\delta$  denote the drop in the genus from  $C$  to the partial normalization along  $Y$ . Then, by Proposition (4.4), the open subsets  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$  provide an exhaustive increasing filtration,

$$\bar{J}_{C,Y}^n = \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,0} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,\delta} = \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,\delta+1} = \dots = \bar{J}_C^n.$$

For example, take  $Y = C$ . Then  $\delta$  is the difference between the arithmetic genus and the geometric genus of  $C$ . Now, for every  $m$ , define a map

$$\iota: \bar{J}_C^m \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{2g-2-m} \text{ by } \iota(\mathcal{I}) := \mathcal{I}^*.$$

Then  $\iota^2 = 1$ ; so  $\iota$  is an isomorphism, and  $\iota^{-1} = \iota$ . We have  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^m = \iota(J_C^{2g-2-m})$ . Hence,  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^m$  is smooth. Moreover,  $W_{C,\omega}^{m,k}$  is equal to  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\omega}^k$ . Since  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is smooth, it

follows that, locally in the smooth topology,  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,k}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Quot}_{\omega}^k$ ; hence, these two schemes have singularities of the same type. Since  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,\delta} = \bar{J}_C^n$ , the singularities of  $\bar{J}_C^n$  are thus of the same type as those of  $\text{Quot}_{\omega}^k$  for  $k \geq \delta$ . Previously, this result was known only for  $k \geq 2g - 1$ ; in this case, it is a consequence of the smoothness and surjectivity of  $A_{\mathcal{O}_C, \omega}$ , which was proved in [4, (8.4), p. 103].

If  $C$  is Gorenstein, then tensoring with  $\omega$  defines an isomorphism  $\text{Hilb}_C^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}_{\omega}^n$ ; in particular, then  $C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}_{\omega}^1$ . Therefore, the singularities of  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}$  are then of the same type as those of  $C$ . Furthermore, Theorem (6.7) asserts that,  $C$  being Gorenstein,  $C$  has at worst double points if and only if  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}$  is large enough to contain all the points of  $\bar{J}_C^n$  of dimension at least  $g - 1$ . The latter condition may be replaced by the bound  $\dim(\bar{J}_C^n - V) \leq g - 2$  where  $V$  stands for the image of  $J_C^{n+1} \times C$  under  $A_C$ , according to Corollary (6.8). Indeed, since  $C$  is Gorenstein, a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible; whence  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n+1} = J_C^{n+1}$ , and so  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1} = V$ .

Presentation schemes arise when a birational map  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  is given. Although  $\varphi$  induces a map on the Jacobians  $\varphi^*: J_C^n \rightarrow J_{C^\dagger}^n$ , nevertheless, when  $\varphi$  is nontrivial,  $\varphi^*$  does not extend to a regular map from  $\bar{J}_C^n$  to  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$  (because such an extension would restrict to an inverse of  $\varphi$ , where  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$  are viewed as embedded by  $A_{\mathcal{I}, C}$  and  $A_{\varphi^*\mathcal{I}, C^\dagger}$  for any given invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C$  of degree  $n + 1$ , see [4, (8.8), p. 108]). To obtain a modification of  $\bar{J}_C^n$  on which  $\varphi^*$  does extend, we could form the graph of  $\varphi^*$ , and take its closure in  $\bar{J}_C^n \times \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ . However, there is a more useful modification, and it is one of a series of projective moduli spaces  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$ , known as the “presentation schemes.”

The *presentation scheme*  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  is defined as follows; see (3.1). Let  $Y \subset C$  be the subset where  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  fails to be an isomorphism, and give  $Y$  the subscheme structure defined by the conductor ideal  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  of  $\varphi$ . Then  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  parameterizes the pairs  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^\dagger)$  consisting of a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  of degree  $n$  on  $C^\dagger$  and a subsheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\varphi_*\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  such that  $\varphi_*\mathcal{I}^\dagger/\mathcal{I}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of length  $r$ ; in other words,  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  parameterizes *presentations*, which are the corresponding injective maps  $h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{I}^\dagger$ .

The presentation scheme  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  is useful because there are natural maps,

$$\kappa_\varphi: P_\varphi^{n,r} \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r} \text{ and } \pi_\varphi: P_\varphi^{n,r} \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n,$$

where  $\delta$  is the genus drop from  $C$  to  $C^\dagger$ . These maps are defined simply by sending a pair  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^\dagger)$  to  $\mathcal{I}$  and to  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$ . If we understand  $\pi_\varphi$  and  $\kappa_\varphi$  well enough, then we may now derive properties of  $\bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r}$  from those of  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ .

In particular,  $P_\varphi^{n,\delta}$  is a suitable modification of  $\bar{J}_C^n$ . Indeed, restricting  $\kappa_\varphi$  yields an isomorphism,

$$\kappa_\varphi^{-1}(J_C^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} J_C^n;$$

its inverse is defined by sending an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $C$  to the canonical presentation  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \varphi_*\varphi^*\mathcal{L}$ . Hence,  $\pi_\varphi$  extends  $\varphi^*$ .

When  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$  are Gorenstein, Corollary (5.5) describes  $\kappa_\varphi$ , locally in the smooth topology, over a larger open subset of  $\bar{J}_C^n$  than  $J_C^n$ . More precisely, Corollary (5.5) says that there is a map  $\Lambda_\varphi$  that completes the product square in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{J}_C^{n+1} \times C^\dagger & \longleftarrow & (1 \times \varphi)^{-1} W_{Y,C}^{n+1,1} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_\varphi} & P_\varphi^{n,\delta} & \xrightarrow{\pi_\varphi} & \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n \\ 1 \times \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \kappa_\varphi & & \\ \bar{J}_C^{n+1} \times C & \longleftarrow & W_{Y,C}^{n+1,1} & \xrightarrow{A_C} & \bar{J}_C^n & & \end{array}$$

where  $W_{Y,C}^{n+1,1} := W_{Y,\mathcal{O}_C}^{n+1,1}$ . Note that, since  $C$  is Gorenstein,  $A_C$  extends over  $W_{Y,C}^{n+1,1}$ , and  $A_C(W_{Y,C}^{n+1,1})$  contains  $J_C^n$ .

Corollary (5.5) follows from Theorem (5.4), which makes a similar statement about  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}: W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1} \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^r$  where  $r := m - 1 + f - 2g + 2$  for any  $\mathcal{F}$  of degree  $f$ . On the other hand, Corollary (5.5) generalizes [5, (17)], which makes a cruder assertion in the special case where  $Y$  is an ordinary node or cusp.

When  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$  are Gorenstein and also  $C^\dagger$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger := \varphi^{-1}Y$ , Theorem (4.9) describes, in terms of  $\kappa_\varphi$ , the complementary decreasing closed filtration,

$$(\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,0}) \supseteq (\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,1}) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq (\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,\delta}) = \emptyset.$$

Namely, Theorem (4.9) asserts that

$$\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} = \iota\kappa_\varphi(P_\varphi^{m,\delta-k-1}) \text{ where } m := 2g - 3 - k - n;$$

moreover,  $\iota\kappa_\varphi$  restricts to an isomorphism of schemes,

$$(\iota\kappa_\varphi)^{-1}(\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k+1} - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k+1} - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}),$$

where the source is an open subscheme of  $P_\varphi^{2g-2-n,\delta-k-1}$  and where the target is the scheme-theoretic image. In [10], Rego proved versions of some of the preliminary results in Section 4.

Concerning  $\pi_\varphi$ , our main result is Theorem (6.3), which asserts that, if  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  is the blow-up at a double point, then  $\pi_\varphi$  makes  $P_\varphi^{n,1}$  a (Zariski locally trivial)  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ . Theorem (6.3) extends [5, (10)], again relaxing the condition that  $Y$  is an ordinary node or cusp.

Throughout, we'll work with *projective* curves and with *projective families* of curves because our goal is to further the theory of the compactified Jacobian. However, in a number of places, this hypothesis is unnecessary, provided, when needed, we assume that the birational maps at hand are proper. In this way, with little or no effort, we can generalize the following results: (3.4), (3.7), (3.8), (4.2), (5.1), (6.1), (6.2) and (6.4). To do so, though, we must also make minor changes in the preliminary discussions in (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), and (3.2).

In outline, here's how the preceding results are used in [7] to prove the autoduality theorem stated above. First of all, it is relatively easy, using the determinant of cohomology, to construct a right inverse to  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*$ . The hard part is to prove that  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*$  is a monomorphism. So let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $\bar{J}_C^0$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to a point of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}_C^0}^0$ , and that  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial. We have to prove that  $\mathcal{N}$  itself is trivial.

In [7], we'll prove a form of the theorem of the cube, and use it to prove that  $A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*\mathcal{N}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{I}$ . In fact,  $A_C^*\mathcal{N}$  is, on  $J_C^1 \times C$ , the pullback of a sheaf  $\mathcal{N}_1$  on  $J_C^1$ . The next step is to prove that  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is trivial.

If  $C$  is smooth, then the autoduality theorem is already known. So assume that  $C$  has a singular point  $Q$ , which, by hypothesis, is a double point. Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be the blowup at  $Q$ . Then  $\pi_\varphi$  makes  $P_\varphi^{0,1}$  a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^0$  by Theorem (6.3). On each  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , the restriction of  $\kappa_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial because  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to a point of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}_C^0}^0$ . Hence,  $\kappa_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}$  is the pullback of a sheaf  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  on  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^0$ .

Set  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger := \varphi^*\mathcal{I}$ . Using the commutativity of the product square above and the commutativity of a related square that is also treated in Corollary (5.5), we can see that  $A_{\mathcal{I}^\dagger,C^\dagger}^*\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  is equal to  $\varphi^*A_{\mathcal{I},C}^*\mathcal{N}$ , which is trivial by assumption. Now,  $C^\dagger$  also has at worst double points by Part (1) of Lemma (6.4). So by induction on the genus drop to

the normalization, we may assume that autoduality holds for  $C^\dagger$ . Hence  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  is trivial. Since  $\pi_\varphi^* \mathcal{N}^\dagger$  is equal to  $\kappa_\varphi^* \mathcal{N}$ , the latter is trivial. So thanks again to the commutativity of the product square above,  $(1 \times \varphi)^* A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  is trivial. Since  $(1 \times \varphi)^* A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  is, on  $J_C^1 \times C^\dagger$ , equal to the pullback of  $\mathcal{N}_1$ , the latter is trivial as desired.

Once again, consider the product square above, and recall that  $A_C$  is smooth by Corollary (2.6). Consider the descent data on  $(1 \times \varphi)^* A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  with respect to  $\Lambda_\varphi$ ; since  $\kappa_\varphi^* \mathcal{N}$  is trivial, this data is trivial. Hence, so is that on  $A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  with respect to  $A_C$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}|V$  is trivial, where  $V := A_C(J_C^1 \times C)$ . Since  $\dim(\bar{J}_C^n - V) \leq g - 2$  by Corollary (6.8) and since  $\bar{J}_C^0$  is known to be of dimension  $g$  and Cohen–Macaulay [1, (9), p. 8], therefore  $\mathcal{N}$  is trivial as desired. Thus the autoduality theorem is proved.

In short, in Section 2, we introduce the bigraded Abel maps  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and prove that they are smooth and surjective when  $\mathcal{F} = \omega$  and when  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C$  and  $C$  is Gorenstein, respectively. In Section 3, we introduce the presentation schemes  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  and the maps  $\kappa_\varphi: P_\varphi^{n,r} \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r}$  and  $\pi_\varphi: P_\varphi^{n,r} \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ , and we prove some general properties of theirs. In Section 4, we use certain Abel maps and presentation schemes to produce two complementary filtrations of  $\bar{J}_C^n$ . In Section 5, we construct a lifting of the Abel map  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$  of bidegree  $(m, 1)$ , and prove that the lifting completes a product square generalizing the one above. Finally, in Section 6, we consider  $C$  with double points, and tie together the material in the preceding sections. In particular, we show that, if  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  is the blow-up at a double point, then  $\pi_\varphi$  makes  $P_\varphi^{n,1}$  a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ . We also characterize the  $C$  having at worst double points as those Gorenstein  $C$  whose Abel map  $A_C: J_C^1 \times C \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^0$  has, in its image, every point of dimension  $g - 1$ , where  $g$  is the arithmetic genus.

## 2. Abel maps

(2.1) *The compactified Jacobian.* Recall some basic theory from [2], [3], or [4]. Let  $C/S$  be a *flat projective family of integral curves*; by such, we mean that the scheme  $S$  is locally Noetherian, and the structure map  $p: C \rightarrow S$  is flat and projective, and has geometrically integral fibers of dimension 1. By a (relative) *torsion-free rank-1 sheaf*  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C/S$ , we mean an  $S$ -flat coherent  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -module  $\mathcal{I}$  such that, for each point  $s$  of  $S$ , the fiber  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on the fiber  $C(s)$ . Moreover, we say that  $\mathcal{I}$  is of *degree*  $n$  if each  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  satisfies the relation,

$$\chi(\mathcal{I}(s)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C(s)}) = n; \quad (2.1.1)$$

here  $n$  is an integer-valued function that is constant on each connected component of  $S$ .

Given  $n$ , form the étale sheaf associated to the “presheaf,” or functor, that assigns to each locally Noetherian  $S$ -scheme  $T$  the set of isomorphism classes of torsion-free rank-1 sheaves of degree  $n$  on  $C_T/T$  (where  $C_T$  stands for  $C \times T$ ). This sheaf is representable by a projective  $S$ -scheme, denoted  $\bar{J}_{C/S}^n$ , or  $\bar{J}_C^n$ , or simply  $\bar{J}^n$ . It (obviously) contains  $J^n := \text{Pic}_{C/S}^n$  as an open subscheme.

Forming the scheme  $\bar{J}^n$  commutes with changing the base  $S$ ; that is, for every  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , we have a natural isomorphism,

$$\bar{J}_{C_T/T}^n = \bar{J}_{C/S}^n \times T.$$

Furthermore, if the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section, then every  $T$ -point of  $\bar{J}^n$  arises from some torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T/T$ . In particular, then  $C \times \bar{J}^n$  carries a universal (or Poincaré) sheaf.

(2.2) *The dualizing sheaf.* Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves. We'll denote its (relative) dualizing sheaf by  $\omega_{C/S}$ , or  $\omega_C$ , or simply  $\omega$ . Recall some basic facts about  $\omega$ ; see [4, (6.5), p. 95] for example.

First, forming  $\omega$  commutes with changing the base; that is, for every  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , the pullback  $\omega_T$  of  $\omega$  to  $C_T$  is the dualizing sheaf of  $C_T/T$ .

Second,  $\omega$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C/S$ , and it is of degree  $2g - 2$ , where  $g$  denotes the (locally constant) arithmetic genus (of the fibers) of  $C/S$ .

Third, let  $T$  be an  $S$ -scheme, and  $\mathcal{I}$  a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T/T$ . Then the sheaf  $\text{Ext}_{C_T}^1(\mathcal{I}, \omega_T)$  vanishes on the fibers. Therefore, the sheaf

$$\mathcal{I}^* := \text{Hom}_{C_T}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_T)$$

is flat over  $T$ , and forming it commutes with changing  $T$  by [4, Thm. 1.10, p. 61]. Hence,  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is torsion free and of rank 1 on  $C_T/T$ . Say  $\mathcal{I}$  is of degree  $m$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is of degree  $2g - 2 - m$  because, on each fiber  $X(t)$ , duality yields the relation,

$$\chi(\mathcal{I}(t)^*) = -\chi(\mathcal{I}(t)).$$

Fourth, the natural map is an isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{I}^*)^*. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Indeed, it suffices to check this statement on each fiber; so we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of a field. Then the map is well known to be an isomorphism locally at each point of  $C$ ; see [6, Thm. 21.21c, p. 538] for example. So, in particular,  $\omega^* = \mathcal{O}_C$  since  $\mathcal{O}_C^* = \omega$ .

Fifth, if  $C$  is Gorenstein, then  $\omega$  is invertible. Hence, if  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible at  $Q \in C_T$ , then so is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ . The converse follows because of (2.2.1).

Sending  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\mathcal{I}^*$  defines a bijection on  $T$ -points, so an isomorphism,

$$\iota^m: \bar{J}^m \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{J}^{2g-2-m}.$$

Its inverse is  $\iota^{2g-2-m}$ . So  $\iota^m$  is the  $m$ th component of a canonical involution of the whole compactified Jacobian  $\coprod \bar{J}^n$ . We will often abbreviate  $\iota^m$  by  $\iota$ .

(2.3) *Abel maps and tensor products.* Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $f$  on  $C/S$ , and  $n \geq 0$ . Recall that there is a natural map,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}^n: \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}^{f-n},$$

called (the  $n$ th component of) the *Abel map* in [4, (8.2), p. 101]; it is defined on  $T$ -points by sending a short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0, \text{ to } \mathcal{J}.$$

We will often abbreviate  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}^n$  by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Particularly important is the case where  $\mathcal{F} = \omega$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{O}_C$ . So the injection  $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_T$  corresponds to a map,

$$u: \mathcal{O}_{C_T} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*.$$

On each fiber of  $C_T/T$ , the support of  $\mathcal{G}$  is finite; hence, on each fiber,  $u$  is nonzero, so injective. Therefore,  $u$  is injective and its cokernel is flat by [8, IV<sub>3</sub>-11.3.7, p. 135]. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is invertible, then  $u$  corresponds, in turn, to a relative effective Cartier divisor  $D$  whose associated sheaf is  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . Thus the composition,

$$\iota \circ \mathcal{A}_{\omega}: \text{Quot}_{\omega}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}^n,$$

may be viewed as a natural extension of the traditional Abel map for the Jacobian, which carries a relative effective Cartier divisor  $D$  to its associated sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(D)$ .

Let  $m$  and  $n$  be arbitrary integers, and let  $U^{m,n}$  be the open subscheme of the product  $\bar{J}^m \times \bar{J}^n$  that represents the étale sheaf associated to the subfunctor whose  $T$ -points are the pairs of torsion-free rank-1 sheaves  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  on  $C_T/T$  such that  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{J}$  is not. Then, sending  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  to  $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J}$  defines a map,

$$\mu^{m,n}: U^{m,n} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{m+n};$$

indeed,  $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf because locally  $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{I}$  or to  $\mathcal{J}$ . We will often abbreviate  $\mu^{m,n}$  by  $\mu$ .

Assume  $n \geq 0$  again. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be arbitrary, and form the map and preimage,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \times (\iota \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}): \bar{J}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^n &\rightarrow \bar{J}^{2g-2-m} \times \bar{J}^{2g-2-f+n}, \\ W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n} &:= (\iota \times (\iota \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}))^{-1} U^{2g-2-m, 2g-2-f+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, restrict  $\iota \times (\iota \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$  to  $W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n}$ , and follow with  $\iota \circ \mu$ , obtaining a map,

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n}: W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{f-2g+2+m-n}.$$

We'll call  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n}$  the *bigraded Abel map associated to  $\mathcal{F}$* , and often abbreviate  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n}$  by  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Note that, if a  $T$ -point  $t$  of  $W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,n}$  represents a pair of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $C_T/T$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a subsheaf of the pullback  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , then  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is not, and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}(t)$  represents the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$  defined by the formula,

$$\mathcal{K} := (\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*)^*. \quad (2.3.1)$$

Another important case occurs when  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C$ . Then it is customary to write  $\text{Hilb}_C^n$  instead of  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^n$ . Let's also abbreviate  $W_{\mathcal{O}_C}^{m,n}$  by  $W_C^{m,n}$ , and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_C}^{m,n}$  by  $\alpha_C^{m,n}$  or  $\alpha_C$ .

**Theorem (2.4).** *For any flat projective family of integral curves, the bigraded Abel map associated to  $\omega$ ,*

$$\alpha_{\omega}: W_{\omega}^{m,n} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{m-n},$$

*is smooth and surjective.*

**Proof.** Let  $C/S$  be the family. To prove that  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is surjective, we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. Now, given a closed point of  $\bar{J}^{m-n}$ , let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a corresponding sheaf on  $C$ . Let  $Q$  be a simple closed point of  $C$ , and set  $\mathcal{J} := \omega(-nQ)$  and  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{K}(nQ)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{K}^*(-nQ) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(nQ) = \mathcal{K}^*.$$

Thus  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is surjective.

Let's now prove that  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is smooth. It is if it is after we change the base via a smooth surjective map  $S' \rightarrow S$ . So we may assume that the smooth locus of  $C/S$  has a section.

Let's use the infinitesimal criterion for smoothness [8, IV<sub>4</sub>-17.5.4, p. 69]. So assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an Artin local ring. Let  $S'$  be a closed subscheme of  $S$  whose ideal is of length 1. Set  $C' := C \times S'$  and  $\omega' := \omega|_{C'}$ . Given an  $S'$ -point  $w'$  of  $W_{\omega'}^{m,n}$  whose image under  $\alpha_{\omega}$  lifts to an  $S$ -point  $k$  of  $\bar{J}^{m-n}$ , we must construct an  $S$ -point  $w$  of  $W_{\omega}^{m,n}$  such that  $w|_{S'} = w'$  and  $\alpha_{\omega}(w) = k$ .

Since the smooth locus of  $C/S$  has a section,  $w'$  arises from a pair of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}'$ ,  $\mathcal{J}'$  on  $C'/S'$ , where  $\mathcal{J}'$  is a subsheaf of  $\omega'$  with flat quotient. Similarly,  $k$  arises from a sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $C/S$ . In these terms, we have to lift  $\mathcal{I}'$  to a flat sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C/S$  and to lift  $\mathcal{J}'$  to a subsheaf  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\omega$  such that Formula (2.3.1) holds. Note that  $\omega/\mathcal{J}$  is automatically flat by [8, IV<sub>3</sub>-11.3.7, p. 135].

Since  $C'$  is of dimension one,  $\mathcal{J}'^*$  is invertible off a finite set  $Y_1$ . But  $\mathcal{I}'^*$  is invertible at each point of  $Y_1$  since the pair  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  corresponds to the  $S$ -point  $w'$  of  $W_\omega^{m,n}$ . So  $\mathcal{I}'^*$  is trivial on some affine open subscheme  $U'_1$  of  $C'$  containing  $Y_1$ ; fix a trivialization  $\xi'_1: \mathcal{O}_C|_{U'_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}'^*|_{U'_1}$ . The complement  $Y_2$  of  $U'_1$  is also finite, and  $\mathcal{J}'^*$  is invertible at each point of  $Y_2$ , because  $U'_1$  contains  $Y_1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{J}'^*$  is trivial on some affine open subscheme  $U'_2$  containing  $Y_2$ ; fix a trivialization  $\xi'_2: \mathcal{O}_C|_{U'_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}'^*|_{U'_2}$ .

Let's first lift  $\mathcal{I}'$ . Tensoring  $\xi'_2$  by  $\mathcal{I}'^*|_{U'_2}$  yields an isomorphism  $\eta'_2: \mathcal{I}'^*|_{U'_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}^*|_{U'_2}$ . Set  $U'_{12} := U'_1 \cap U'_2$ . Define  $\xi'_{12}: \mathcal{O}_C|_{U'_{12}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*|_{U'_{12}}$  by  $\xi'_{12} := \eta'_2 \xi'_1$ . Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  denote the affine open subschemes of  $C$  on the same underlying sets as  $U'_1$  and  $U'_2$ . Set  $U_{12} := U_1 \cap U_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}^*$  is flat and since  $U_{12}$  is affine,  $\xi'_{12}$  lifts to a trivialization  $\xi_{12}$  of  $\mathcal{K}^*|_{U_{12}}$ . Use  $\xi_{12}$  to patch together  $\mathcal{O}_C|_{U_1}$  and  $\mathcal{K}^*|_{U_2}$ , and then apply the operator  $\star$ . The result is a lifting  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{I}'$ . In addition, we obtain isomorphisms  $\xi_1: \mathcal{O}_C|_{U_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}^*|_{U_1}$  and  $\eta_2: \mathcal{I}^*|_{U_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}^*|_{U_2}$  lifting  $\xi'_1$  and  $\eta'_2$ , respectively.

Of course,  $\mathcal{I}$  is not unique. However, the difference between it and any other lifting is represented by a vector in

$$H^1(C'', \text{Hom}_{C''}(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{I}''^*));$$

here and below,  $''$  means the restriction over the reduced point of  $S$ . We will find a suitable vector to use to modify  $\mathcal{I}$  so that we can construct a suitable lifting  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{J}'$ .

The inclusion of  $\mathcal{J}'$  in  $\omega'$  corresponds to an injection  $u': \mathcal{O}_{C'} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}'^*$ , whose cokernel is flat; see (2.3). Let  $a' \in (U'_2, \mathcal{O}_{C'})$  be such that  $u'|_{U'_2} = a' \xi'_2$ . Since  $U_2$  is affine,  $a'$  lifts to an element  $a \in \Gamma(U_2, \mathcal{O}_C)$ . Use  $a$  to define a divisor  $D$  of  $C$  with support in  $U_2$ ; note that  $a$  is regular and that  $D$  is flat by [8, IV<sub>3</sub>-11.3.7, p.135], because  $D|_{U'_2}$  is just the divisor associated to the injection  $u'|_{U'_2}$ . Set  $D' := D|_{C'}$ .

Set  $v' := u' \otimes 1_{\mathcal{I}'^*}$ ; so  $v': \mathcal{I}'^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*$ . By construction,  $v'|_{U'_2} = a' \eta'_2$ ; so  $v'|_{U'_2}$  is injective. Since  $\mathcal{I}'^*|_{U'_1}$  is trivial,  $v'|_{U'_1}$  is injective with flat cokernel, because  $u'|_{U'_1}$  is so. Thus  $v'$  factors through an injection,

$$v'_0: \mathcal{I}'^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*(-D'),$$

whose cokernel is flat, because the cokernel of  $v'|_{U'_1}$  is so, and because  $v'_0|_{U'_2}$  is bijective.

Consider the obstruction to lifting  $v'_0$  to a map from  $\mathcal{I}^*$  to  $\mathcal{K}^*(-D)$ . This obstruction is represented by a vector,

$$e \in \text{Ext}_{C''}^1(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{K}''^*(-D'')).$$

The “local obstruction” is the image of  $e$  in

$$H^0(C'', \text{Ext}_{C''}^1(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{K}''^*(-D''))),$$

and it vanishes; indeed, it vanishes on  $U''_1$  because  $\mathcal{I}''$  is trivial there, and it vanishes on  $U''_2$  because  $v'|_{U'_2}$  lifts to  $a\eta_2$ . Hence,

$$e \in H^1(C'', \text{Hom}_{C''}(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{K}''^*(-D''))) \subset \text{Ext}_{C''}^1(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{K}''^*(-D'')).$$

Since  $v'_0$  is injective and its cokernel is flat, its restriction to  $C''$  is injective. So the restriction's cokernel has finite support. Hence the restriction induces a surjection,

$$H^1(C'', \text{Hom}_{C''}(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{I}''^*)) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(C'', \text{Hom}_{C''}(\mathcal{I}''^*, \mathcal{K}''^*(-D''))).$$

Lift  $e$  and modify  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then  $e$  vanishes, so  $v'_0$  lifts to a map  $v_0: \mathcal{I}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*(-D)$ . Composing  $v_0$  with the inclusion of  $\mathcal{K}^*(-D)$  in  $\mathcal{K}^*$ , we obtain a map  $v: \mathcal{I}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*$  lifting  $v'$ .

Finally, define a subsheaf  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\omega$  as follows. On  $U_1$ , define  $\mathcal{J}$  to be the image of the injection  $\mathcal{K}|_{U_1} \hookrightarrow \omega|_{U_1}$  corresponding under the operator  $\star$  to the composition  $v\xi_1$ . On  $U_2$ , define  $\mathcal{J}$  to be  $\omega(-D)$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}$  lifts  $\mathcal{J}'$ ; it does so on  $U_1$  because  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is trivial there,

and it does so on  $U_2$  because  $\mathcal{J}'|_{U_2} = \omega'(-D')|_{U_2}$  by construction of  $D'$ . Moreover,  $v: \mathcal{I}^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\star$  factors as follows:

$$v: \mathcal{I}^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\star \otimes \mathcal{J}^\star \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}^\star,$$

where the first map is obtained by tensoring the injection  $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}^\star$  with  $\mathcal{I}^\star$ , and the second is an induced isomorphism. The theorem is now proved.

**Corollary (2.5).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and assume that  $C/S$  is Gorenstein. Then the bigraded Abel map associated to  $\mathcal{O}_C$ ,*

$$\alpha_C: W_C^{m,n} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{m-n-2g+2},$$

*is smooth and surjective.*

**Proof.** Since  $\omega$  is invertible, tensoring with it defines two isomorphisms,

$$\tau: \bar{J}^m \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{J}^{m+2g-2} \text{ and } \sigma: \text{Hilb}_C^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}_\omega^n. \quad (2.5.1)$$

Moreover, an arbitrary sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $C$  is invertible at a given point if and only if  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega$  is so. It follows that

$$(\tau \times \sigma)(W_C^{m,n}) = W_\omega^{m+2g-2,n} \text{ and } \alpha_\omega \circ (\tau \times \sigma) = \tau \circ \tau \circ \alpha_C.$$

The assertion now results from Theorem (2.4).

**Corollary (2.6).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and assume that  $C/S$  is Gorenstein. Then the Abel map,*

$$A_C: W_C^{m,n} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{m-n}, \text{ defined by } (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \mapsto \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J},$$

*is well-defined, smooth, and surjective.*

**Proof.** Thanks to Corollary (2.5), we need only prove that, with  $\tau$  as in (2.5.1),

$$A_C = \tau \circ \alpha_C. \quad (2.6.1)$$

First, let's make a simple general observation: given any three quasi-coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  on any scheme, the canonical map is an isomorphism,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}), \quad (2.6.2)$$

if  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{N}$  isn't. Indeed, the question is local, and the map is functorial in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . However, the question is trivial if either  $\mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{N}$  is trivial.

Whether  $C/S$  is Gorenstein or not, adjoint associativity and (2.2.1) yield

$$(\mathcal{I}^\star \otimes \mathcal{J}^\star)^\star = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^\star, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{J}^\star, \omega_T)) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^\star, \mathcal{J}). \quad (2.6.3)$$

Now,  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  defines a  $T$ -point of  $W_C^{m,n}$ ; so  $\mathcal{I}^\star$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{J}^\star$  is not. Since  $C/S$  is Gorenstein,  $\mathcal{J}^\star$  is invertible precisely where  $\mathcal{J}$  is, and  $\omega$  is invertible everywhere; see (2.2). Hence, (2.6.2) and (2.2.1) yield

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^\star, \mathcal{J}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^\star, \omega_T) \otimes (\mathcal{J} \otimes \omega_T^{-1}) = \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J} \otimes \omega_T^{-1}. \quad (2.6.4)$$

Thus (2.6.1) holds, and the corollary is proved.

### 3. Presentation schemes

(3.1) *Existence.* (See [5].) Let  $p: C \rightarrow S$  and  $p^\dagger: C^\dagger \rightarrow S$  be flat projective families of integral curves, and  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  a (relative) *birational*  $S$ -map; that is,  $\varphi$  is an  $S$ -map whose fibers  $\varphi(s): C^\dagger(s) \rightarrow C(s)$  are all birational. Then  $\varphi$  is proper and quasi-finite, so

finite. Its comorphism  $\varphi^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  has injective fibers; so  $\varphi^\sharp$  is injective and its cokernel is flat. Set

$$\delta_\varphi := \text{length}((\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{O}_C)(s)).$$

By flatness,  $\delta_\varphi$  is locally constant. We'll call  $\delta_\varphi$  the *genus drop* from  $C$  to  $C^\dagger$  or along  $\varphi$ , and we'll often abbreviate  $\delta_\varphi$  by  $\delta$ .

In  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$ , form the sets,

$$Y := \text{Supp}(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{O}_C) \text{ and } Y^\dagger := \varphi^{-1}Y,$$

and give them the subscheme structures defined by the annihilator  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  of  $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{O}_C$ . We'll call  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  the *conductor subschemes*, and  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  the *conductor ideal* of  $C^\dagger / C$  or  $\varphi$ . Note that evaluating at 1 induces an isomorphism,

$$\text{Hom}(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}, \mathcal{O}_C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}_Y.$$

Moreover, if  $T$  is an  $S$ -scheme, then  $Y_T$  contains the conductor subscheme in  $C_T$  of  $\varphi_T$ , and these two subschemes of  $C_T$  have the same underlying set.

If  $\delta = 1$ , then  $p$  restricts to an isomorphism  $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} S$ , and  $Y^\dagger$  is  $S$ -flat of relative length 2. Furthermore, forming  $Y$  and  $Y^\dagger$  commutes with changing  $S$ . (See [5, Prop. 2, p. 17].)

By duality theory,  $(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})^* = \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}^*)$ . Since  $\omega_C = \mathcal{O}_C^*$  and  $\omega_{C^\dagger} = \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}^*$ , the comorphism  $\varphi^\sharp$  gives rise to a map,

$$c_\varphi: \varphi_* \omega_{C^\dagger} \rightarrow \omega_C.$$

Furthermore,  $c_\varphi$  is injective,  $\text{Cok}(c_\varphi)$  is a flat  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of relative length  $\delta$ , and forming  $c_\varphi$  commutes with changing  $S$ .

Suppose  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ . Then  $\omega_C$  is invertible wherever  $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  isn't. So

$$\text{Hom}(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}, \omega_C) = \text{Hom}(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}, \mathcal{O}_C) \otimes \omega_C$$

by the general fact explained in the proof of Corollary (2.6). Hence

$$\varphi_* \omega_{C^\dagger} = \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}^*) = (\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})^* = \text{Hom}(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}, \mathcal{O}_C) \otimes \omega_C = \mathcal{I}_Y \otimes \omega_C, \quad (3.1.1)$$

and so  $\text{Cok}(c_\varphi) = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \omega_C$ . Therefore,  $Y$  is flat of relative length  $\delta$ , and forming  $Y$  commutes with changing  $S$ . Also,  $Y^\dagger$  is flat of relative length  $2\delta$ , and forming  $Y^\dagger$  commutes with changing  $S$  because of the short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C / \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $T$  be an  $S$ -scheme, and  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T^\dagger / T$ . By definition, a *presentation* of  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  for  $\varphi$  is an injective map,

$$h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}^\dagger,$$

(where  $\varphi_T := \varphi \times 1_T$ ) such that  $\text{Cok}(h)$  is a  $T$ -flat  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_T}$ -module.

If  $\text{Cok}(h)$  has relative length  $r$  over  $T$  (resp., if  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  is of degree  $n$ ), then we'll say that  $h$  is of *colength*  $r$  (resp., of *degree*  $n$ ). If so, then  $\mathcal{I}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T / T$ , and it is of degree  $n + \delta - r$ . For example, the comorphism  $\varphi^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is a presentation of colength  $\delta$ .

Since  $Y_T$  contains the conductor subscheme of  $\varphi_T$ , any presentation for  $\varphi_T$  is a presentation for  $\varphi$ . The converse holds if  $\delta = 1$  or if  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , because then forming  $Y$  commutes with changing  $S$ , as noted above.

Two presentations,  $h_1: \mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}_1^\dagger$  and  $h_2: \mathcal{I}_2 \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$ , are considered *equivalent* if there exist an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $T$  and a commutative diagram with vertical isomorphisms,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_1 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}_1^\dagger \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes p_T^* \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{h_2 \otimes p_T^* \mathcal{N}} & \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}_2^\dagger \otimes p_T^* \mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

For each  $n$  and  $r$ , there exists a projective  $S$ -scheme  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  that parameterizes the presentations of colength  $r$  of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  of degree  $n$  up to equivalence; the existence of  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  is proved in two different ways in [5, Thm. 12, p. 22] for  $r = \delta$ , but both proofs work for any  $r$ . More precisely, if there exists a universal sheaf on  $C^\dagger \times \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ , where  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$  is the compactified Jacobian, then the  $T$ -points of  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  (or  $S$ -maps  $T \rightarrow P_\varphi^{n,r}$ ) correspond bijectively to the equivalence classes of presentations of colength  $r$  and degree  $n$  for  $\varphi_T$ ; in other words, then  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  represents the functor of equivalence classes of presentations. In general,  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  represents the associated étale sheaf.

Forming  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  commutes with changing  $S$  if  $\delta = 1$  or if  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , because, as noted above, then any presentation for  $\varphi_T$  is a presentation for  $\varphi$ , and conversely.

(3.2) *Copresentations.* Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map of flat projective families of integral curves. Let  $T$  be an  $S$ -scheme, and  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T^\dagger/T$ . By a *copresentation* of  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  for  $\varphi$ , we'll mean an injective map,

$$c: \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{I},$$

such that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T/T$ , and  $\text{Cok}(c)$  is a  $T$ -flat  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_T}$ -module. If  $\text{Cok}(c)$  has relative length  $r$  (resp., if  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  is of degree  $n$ ), then we'll say that  $c$  is of *colength*  $r$  (resp., *of degree*  $n$ ). For example, the natural map  $c_\varphi: \varphi_* \omega_{C^\dagger} \rightarrow \omega_C$  is a copresentation of colength  $\delta$ .

The operator  $\star$  of (2.2) commutes with  $\varphi_{T*}$ ; indeed, duality theory provides a canonical isomorphism,

$$(\varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}^\dagger)^\star = \varphi_{T*} (\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\star}). \quad (3.2.1)$$

So  $c$  gives rise to a map,

$$c^\star: \mathcal{I}^\star \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} (\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\star}).$$

Its source and target are torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on  $C_T/T$ , and forming  $c^\star$  commutes with changing  $T$ . Hence,  $c^\star$  is injective, and  $\text{Cok}(c^\star)$  is  $T$ -flat.

The cokernels of  $c$  and  $c^\star$  are also related by duality theory:

$$\text{Cok}(c^\star) = \text{Ext}^1(\text{Cok}(c), \omega_{C_T}) \text{ and } \text{Cok}(c) = \text{Ext}^1(\text{Cok}(c^\star), \omega_{C_T}).$$

Hence the two cokernels have the same annihilator. So  $\text{Cok}(c^\star)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_T}$ -module. Thus  $c^\star$  is a presentation of  $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\star}$  of the same colength as  $c$ . For example,  $c_\varphi^\star$  is equal to the comorphism  $f^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ .

Similarly, every presentation  $h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}^\dagger$  gives rise to a map,

$$h^\star: \varphi_{T*} (\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\star}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\star,$$

which is a copresentation of the same colength. Moreover,  $h^{\star\star} = h$  and  $c^{\star\star} = c$ . Furthermore, the notion of equivalence of presentations gives rise to a notion of equivalence of copresentations. Therefore, for each  $n$  and  $r$ , the presentation scheme  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  parameterizes

the copresentations of colength  $r$  of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  of degree  $2g - 2\delta - 2 - n$  up to equivalence, where  $g$  denotes the (locally constant) arithmetic genus of  $C/S$ .

(3.3) *Maps from  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$ .* Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map of flat projective families of integral curves. There are natural maps from  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  to the compactified Jacobians of  $C/S$  and  $C^\dagger/S$ :

$$\kappa_\varphi^{n,r}: P_\varphi^{n,r} \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r} \text{ and } \pi_\varphi^{n,r}: P_\varphi^{n,r} \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n.$$

They are defined by sending a presentation  $h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  to  $\mathcal{I}$  and to  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$ , respectively. They are well defined because they respect equivalence;  $\pi_\varphi^{n,r}$  does so thanks to Lemma (3.4) below (it is a special case of [5, Prop. 3, p. 18], with a simpler proof). We will often abbreviate  $\kappa_\varphi^{n,r}$  and  $\pi_\varphi^{n,r}$  by  $\kappa_\varphi$  and  $\pi_\varphi$ .

It follows from the definitions that  $\pi_\varphi^{n,0}$  is an isomorphism. So the composition,

$$\epsilon_\varphi^n := \kappa_\varphi^{n,0}(\pi_\varphi^{n,0})^{-1}: \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^{n+\delta},$$

is defined; it carries a sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger/T$  to  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{I}^\dagger$ . We'll often abbreviate  $\epsilon_\varphi^n$  by  $\epsilon_\varphi$ .

Lemma (3.4) implies that  $\epsilon_\varphi$  is a monomorphism. Since it is also proper, it is a closed embedding. So in [5, 5, p. 19],  $\epsilon_\varphi$  was termed the *canonical embedding*. It commutes with the canonical involution of (2.2); indeed, Equation (3.2.1) says that  $\iota\epsilon_\varphi = \epsilon_\varphi\iota$ .

**Lemma (3.4).** *Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map of flat projective families of integral curves. Let  $\mathcal{I}_1^\dagger$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$  be two torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on  $C^\dagger/S$ , and let  $u: \varphi_*\mathcal{I}_1^\dagger \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$  be a map. Then there is a unique map  $u^\dagger: \mathcal{I}_1^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$  such that  $\varphi_*u^\dagger = u$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the following diagram on  $C^\dagger$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi^*\varphi_*\mathcal{I}_1^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*u} & \varphi^*\varphi_*\mathcal{I}_2^\dagger \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{I}_1^\dagger & & \mathcal{I}_2^\dagger \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the canonical maps. They are surjective since  $\varphi$  is finite. Hence, since their targets are flat, forming their kernels commutes with passing to the fibers. On the fibers, their kernels have finite support because the fibers of  $\varphi$  are birational. Hence the left kernel maps to zero in  $\mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$ ; indeed, the latter is flat and torsion-free on the fibers of  $C^\dagger/S$ , so its associated points are the generic points of their fibers. Hence there is a unique map  $u^\dagger: \mathcal{I}_1^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$  making the above diagram commutative. By adjunction,  $\varphi_*u^\dagger = u$ , and the proof is complete.

**Proposition (3.5).** *Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map of flat projective families of integral curves. If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along the conductor scheme  $Y^\dagger$ , then, locally in the étale topology on  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger/S}^n$ , the map  $\pi_\varphi^{n,r}$  is isomorphic to the following second projection:*

$$\mathrm{Quot}_{\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{Y^\dagger}/Y/S}^r \times \bar{J}_{C^\dagger/S}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger/S}^n.$$

**Proof.** Set  $\bar{J}^\dagger := \bar{J}_{C^\dagger/S}^n$ . We may replace  $S$  by an étale covering; so we may assume that there is a universal torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger/\bar{J}^\dagger$ . By hypothesis,  $Y^\dagger$  is contained in the smooth locus of  $C^\dagger/S$ ; so the restriction  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  to  $Y^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger$  is invertible. Let  $p_2: Y^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger \rightarrow \bar{J}^\dagger$  denote the projection. Let  $s \in \bar{J}^\dagger$ . Since the fiber  $p_2^{-1}(s)$  is finite, there is an open subscheme  $U \subseteq Y^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger$  containing  $p_2^{-1}(s)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  is free. Set

$V := \bar{J}^\dagger - p_2(Y^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger - U)$ . Since  $p_2$  is proper,  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $s$  in  $\bar{J}^\dagger$ . By construction,  $p_2^{-1}V \subseteq U$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}|_{p_2^{-1}V}$  is free.

Set  $\varphi' := \varphi \times 1_{\bar{J}^\dagger}$  and  $\mathcal{G} := (\varphi'_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger)|(Y \times \bar{J}^\dagger)$ . Over  $\bar{J}^\dagger$ , the presentation scheme  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{G}/Y \times \bar{J}^\dagger/\bar{J}^\dagger}^r$ ; this statement is proved in [5, Prop. 9, p. 20] for  $r = \delta$ , but the proof works for any  $r$ . Let  $\psi: Y^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger \rightarrow Y \times \bar{J}^\dagger$  denote the restriction of  $\varphi'$ . Since  $\mathcal{G} = \psi_* \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}|_{p_2^{-1}V}$  is free, there is an isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{G}|(Y \times V) \cong (\psi_* \mathcal{O}_{Y^\dagger \times \bar{J}^\dagger})|(Y \times V).$$

Hence  $(\pi_\varphi^{n,r})^{-1}V$  is  $V$ -isomorphic to  $\text{Quot}_{\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{Y^\dagger}/Y/S}^r \times V$ . The proof is now complete.

**Proposition (3.6).** *Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map of flat projective families of integral curves. If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along its conductor subscheme  $Y^\dagger$ , then every  $\kappa_\varphi^{n,r}$  is finite.*

**Proof.** Since  $\kappa_\varphi$  is proper, it is finite if it is quasi-finite. Let  $t$  be a geometric point of  $\bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r}$ , representing a sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on the fiber  $C(t)$ . Form  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger := \mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$ , the quotient of  $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$  by its torsion subsheaf. Consider the natural map  $h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger$ .

Let  $u: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  be a presentation. Its adjoint  $\varphi(t)^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  factors through an injection  $u^\dagger: \mathcal{I}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\dagger$ . So  $u$  factors as follows:

$$u: \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{h} \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\varphi(t)_* u^\dagger} \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger.$$

Since  $\text{Cok}(u)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y(t)}$ -module, and since  $C^\dagger(t)$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger(t)$ , there is an effective divisor  $D^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger(t)$  such that  $u^\dagger(\mathcal{I}^\dagger) = \mathcal{J}^\dagger(-D^\dagger)$  and such that  $D^\dagger \leq [Y^\dagger(t)]$ , where  $[Y^\dagger(t)]$  is the fundamental cycle of the scheme  $Y^\dagger(t)$ .

Given another presentation  $u_1: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{J}_1^\dagger$ , let  $u_1^\dagger: \mathcal{I}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_1^\dagger$  be the induced injection, and  $D_1^\dagger$  the divisor such that  $u_1^\dagger(\mathcal{I}^\dagger) = \mathcal{J}_1^\dagger(-D_1^\dagger)$ . If  $D_1^\dagger = D^\dagger$ , then there is an isomorphism  $v: \mathcal{J}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}_1^\dagger$  such that  $u_1^\dagger = v u^\dagger$ ; hence,  $u$  is equivalent to  $u_1$ . Now, there are at most finitely many effective divisors bounded by  $[Y^\dagger(t)]$ . Hence the fiber of  $\kappa_\varphi$  over  $t$  is finite. Thus the proposition is proved.

**Lemma (3.7).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field,  $Q \in C$  a singular point, and  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{O}_C$  its ideal. Form the  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and set  $C^* := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ . Then these assertions hold.*

- (1) *We have  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ .*
- (2) *The structure map  $\psi: C^* \rightarrow C$  is birational with genus drop 1 if and only if  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Q$ .*
- (3) *Assume that  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Q$ , and let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational map that is nontrivial at  $Q$ . Then  $\varphi$  factors through  $\psi$ .*

**Proof.** Consider (1). The inclusion  $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$  induces an inclusion,

$$\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

It is an equality. Indeed, consider a map  $u: \mathcal{M}_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$ . If  $u \notin \mathcal{B}_Q$ , then, since  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  is the maximal ideal,  $u$  is surjective, so an isomorphism. If so, then  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  is invertible. However,  $Q$  is a singular point. Hence  $u \in \mathcal{B}_Q$ . Thus (1) holds.

Consider (2). The basic exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.7.1)$$

because  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_C)$  and  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  vanish. The first term of (3.7.1) is equal to  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . The second term is equal to  $\mathcal{B}$  by (1). The third term is of length 1 if and only if  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Q$ . Hence (2) holds.

Consider (3). Set  $\mathcal{A} := \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ . The comorphism  $\varphi^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induces an injection,

$$u: \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}.$$

If there were a map  $v: \mathcal{A}_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  such that  $v\varphi_Q^\sharp(1) \notin \mathcal{M}_Q$ , then  $v$  would be bijective, and so  $\varphi_Q^\sharp$  would be bijective too; hence,  $u(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{C,Q})) \subset \mathcal{M}_Q$ . So  $u$  induces an injection,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}), \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}).$$

Its source is equal to  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  by (1). Its target is equal to  $\mathcal{A}_Q$  if  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Q$ . Thus (3) holds.

**Lemma (3.8).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field, and  $Q$  a singular point at which  $C$  is Gorenstein. Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{O}_C$  denote the ideal of  $Q$ . Set  $\mathcal{B} := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $C^* := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $\psi: C^* \rightarrow C$  denote the structure map. Finally, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$ .*

*Then there exists a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^*$  on  $C^*$  such that  $\psi_* \mathcal{I}^* = \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  is not invertible at  $Q$ . If  $\mathcal{I}^*$  exists, then it is unique.*

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{I}^*$  exists, then it is unique by Lemma (3.4). Now,  $\mathcal{I}^*$  exists if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, so if and only if  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$  contains  $\mathcal{B}$ , where both  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -modules are viewed as fractional ideals. Hence,  $\mathcal{I}^*$  does not exist if  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible at  $Q$ , because then  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$  is equal to  $\mathcal{O}_C$  at  $Q$ , whereas  $\delta_\psi = 1$  by Part (2) of Lemma (3.7). So suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is not invertible at  $Q$ .

For convenience, set  $A := \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  and  $M := \mathcal{M}_Q$ . Set  $B := \mathcal{B}_Q$  and  $I := \mathcal{I}_Q$ . We have to show that  $BI \subset I$ . Set  $I' := \text{Hom}_A(I, A)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_A(I', A) = I$  because  $A$  is Gorenstein. Let  $\bar{A}$  denote the normalization of  $A$ , and set  $K := \text{Hom}_A(\bar{A}, A)$ . View  $K$  and  $I'$  as fractional ideals too.

Since  $I'\bar{A}$  is principal, there is an element  $g$  in  $I'$  such that  $I'\bar{A} = g\bar{A}$ . Then we have  $gA \subset I' \subset g\bar{A}$ . Apply  $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$  and multiply by  $g$ . We get

$$K \subset gI \subset A. \quad (3.8.1)$$

Since  $I$  is not invertible,  $gI \neq A$ ; hence,  $gI \subset M$ .

Let  $\bar{M}$  denote the radical of  $\bar{A}$ . Then  $M \subset \bar{M}$ , so

$$gI \subset \bar{M}. \quad (3.8.2)$$

Since  $\bar{A}$  is normal,  $\bar{M}$  is invertible; let  $\bar{M}^{-1}$  denote the inverse fractional ideal. Set  $G := \bar{M}^{-1}K$ . Multiplying (3.8.2) by  $G$  yields  $gIG \subset K$ . So (3.8.1) yields  $gIG \subset gI$ . Therefore,

$$IG \subset I. \quad (3.8.3)$$

Note that  $G \not\subset A$ . Indeed, otherwise  $G \subset K$  because  $K$  is the largest  $\bar{A}$ -submodule of  $A$ . However,  $G = \bar{M}^{-1}K$ . So  $K \subset \bar{M}K$ . Hence, by Nakayama's lemma,  $K = 0$ , which is absurd.

Set  $D := A + G$ . Then  $D \supset A$ , but  $D \neq A$ . Set  $D' := \text{Hom}_A(D, A)$ . Then  $D' \subset A$ . Also,  $\text{Hom}_A(D', A) = D$  because  $A$  is Gorenstein. So  $D' \neq A$ . Hence  $D' \subset M$ . Therefore  $D \supset \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ . Now,  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) = B$  by Part (1) of Lemma (3.7). Hence

$$BI \subset DI = I + GI.$$

So (3.8.3) yields  $BI \subset I$ , which was to be proved.

**Definition (3.9).** Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and  $Y \subset C$  a closed subset. Form the subscheme of  $\bar{J}^n$  parameterizing those sheaves  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y$ , and denote this open subscheme by  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^n$ .

**Proposition (3.10).** Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map of flat projective families of integral curves, and  $\delta$  its genus change. If  $\delta = 1$ , and if  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along the conductor subscheme  $Y$ , then

$$\bar{J}_{C,Y}^n = \bar{J}_C^n - \epsilon_\varphi(\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^{n-1}).$$

**Proof.** We may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field because forming  $Y$  commutes with changing  $S$  since  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$  (or since  $\delta = 1$ ); see (3.1). Then  $Y$  consists of a single point  $Q$ . Apply Lemma (3.7); its Part (3) says that  $\varphi$  factors through the map  $\psi$  introduced there. The genus drop of  $\psi$  is 1 by Part (2) of Lemma (3.7). So  $\varphi = \psi$  since  $\delta = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible along  $Y$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is so, since  $C$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ . Hence Lemma (3.8) yields the assertion.

#### 4. Filtrations

**Definition (4.1).** Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and  $Y \subset C$  a closed subset. Fix  $n$ . Using the notation of (2.3) and (3.9), set

$$\begin{aligned} W_{Y,\omega}^{n,k} &:= W_\omega^{n,k} \cap (\bar{J}_{C,Y}^n \times \text{Quot}_\omega^k), \\ \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} &:= \alpha_\omega(W_{Y,\omega}^{n+k,k}) \subset \bar{J}_C^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $W_{Y,\omega}^{n,k}$  and  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$  are open, the latter thanks to Theorem (2.4).

Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map, and  $Y$  now be its conductor subscheme. Let  $g$  denote the arithmetic genus of  $C/S$ , set  $m := 2g - 2 - n$ , and use the notation of (3.1) and (3.3). Finally, form the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\varphi^{n,r} &:= \iota \circ \kappa_\varphi(P_\varphi^{m+r-\delta,r}) \subset \bar{J}_C^n, \\ L_\varphi^{n,r} &:= (\iota \circ \kappa_\varphi)^{-1}(\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{m-\delta+r,\delta-r}) \subset P_\varphi^{n,r}. \end{aligned}$$

By convention, take  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,-1}$  and  $K_\varphi^{n,-1}$  to be empty. Note that  $L_\varphi^{n,r}$  is open.

**Lemma (4.2).** Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field. Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational map,  $\delta$  its genus drop, and  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  its conductor subschemes. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$ , and set

$$d := \text{length}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}/\mathcal{N}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  stands for the quotient of  $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  by its torsion subsheaf. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) The natural map  $h: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \varphi_*(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})$  is a presentation of colength  $d$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N}$  be any subsheaf that is invertible along  $Y$ . Then

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{L}) \geq \delta - d,$$

and equality holds if and only if  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ .

- (3) If  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is invertible along  $Y^\dagger$ , then there exists a subsheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible along  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ .

**Proof.** To prove (1), note that  $h$  is injective because  $\mathcal{N}$  is torsion-free and  $\varphi$  is birational. In addition,  $\text{Cok}(h)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module since  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  is the conductor ideal, and so

$$\mathcal{I}_Y \varphi_*(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}.$$

To prove (2), note that we have the inclusions,

$$\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} \supset \mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} \supset \mathcal{L} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} \supset \mathcal{L}.$$

So since length is additive, we get the equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{L}) &= \text{length}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{N}) + \text{length}(\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{L}), \\ \text{length}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{L}) &= \text{length}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{L} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}) + \text{length}(\mathcal{L} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible along  $Y$ , the last term of the second equation is equal to  $\delta$ . Hence

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{L}) = \text{length}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{L} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}) + \delta - d.$$

The assertion follows immediately.

To prove (3), first take  $Q \in Y$ . Since  $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is invertible along  $\varphi^{-1}Q$ , there is an  $a_Q \in \mathcal{N}_Q$  such that

$$a_Q(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})_Q = \mathcal{N}_Q(\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})_Q.$$

Now, define  $\mathcal{M}$  to be the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  is equal to  $a_Q \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  if  $Q \in Y$ , and to  $\mathcal{N}_Q$  if not. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  has the desired properties. Thus the lemma is proved.

**Proposition (4.3).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field. Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational map,  $\delta$  its genus drop,  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  its conductor subschemes, and  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  its conductor ideal on  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $n$  on  $C$ , and set*

$$c := \text{length}(\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}) \text{ and } d := \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{K}^*),$$

where  $\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  stands for the quotient of  $\mathcal{K}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  by its torsion subsheaf. Let  $t \in \bar{J}_C^n$  represent  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) *If  $t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$ , then  $k \geq \delta - d$ . The converse holds if  $\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is invertible along  $Y^\dagger$ .*
- (2) *We have  $d \leq c$ . In addition,  $t \in K_\varphi^{n,r}$  if and only if  $d \leq r \leq c$ .*

**Proof.** We are going to apply Lemma (4.2) a number of times with  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{K}^*$ .

Let's prove (1). Suppose  $t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*$  where  $\mathcal{J} \subset \omega_C$  is a subsheaf of colength  $k$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y$  and where  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is not. The inclusion  $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \omega_C$  yields an injection  $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ . Tensoring it with  $\mathcal{I}^*$ , we obtain an injection  $\mathcal{I}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^*$ . Form the subsheaf  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}^*$  that is equal to  $\mathcal{I}^*$  along  $Y$  and to  $\mathcal{K}^*$  off  $Y$ . Then we have these relations,

$$k = \text{length}(\mathcal{J}^* / \mathcal{O}_C) \geq \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^* / \mathcal{L}) \geq \delta - d,$$

since the operator  $^*$  preserves colength, since  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y$ , and since Part (2) of Lemma (4.2) applies.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is invertible along  $Y^\dagger$ . By Part (3) of Lemma (4.2), there is a subsheaf  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{K}^*$  such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible along  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} = \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ . By Part (2) of Lemma (4.2),

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{K}^* / \mathcal{M}) = \delta - d.$$

In addition, suppose  $k \geq \delta - d$ . Set  $r := k - \delta + d$ , take a simple closed point  $R \in C$ , and set  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{M}^*(rR)$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y \cup \{R\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \mathcal{I} &= \deg \mathcal{K} + \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^* / \mathcal{M}) + \text{length}(\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{I}^*) \\ &= n + \delta - d + r = n + k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.1}$$

Set  $\mathcal{H} := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^*, \mathcal{K}^*)$  and embed  $\mathcal{O}_C$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  as the subsheaf of multiples of the inclusion map  $\mathcal{I}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^*$ . Form the subsheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  whose stalk  $\mathcal{L}_Q$  is  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  if  $Q \in Y \cup \{R\}$ , and is  $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  otherwise. Because of the latter condition,  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is not. Set  $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{L}^*$ . Consider the natural map from  $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*$  into  $\mathcal{K}^*$ ; it is an isomorphism, because  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y \cup \{R\}$ , and because off it,  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is equal to  $\mathcal{K}^*$  and  $\mathcal{J}^*$  to  $\mathcal{O}_C$ .

There is a natural injection of  $\mathcal{O}_C$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . Its colength is equal to the colength of  $\mathcal{I}^*$  in  $\mathcal{K}^*$ . The latter is equal to  $\deg \mathcal{I} - \deg \mathcal{K}$ , so to  $k$  by (4.3.1). Applying  $*$  yields an injection of  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\omega_C$ , and its cokernel is also of length  $k$ . In sum, the pair  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  is represented by a point of  $W_{\omega, Y}^{n+k, k}$ , and its image under  $\alpha_\omega$  is  $t$ . Thus (1) holds.

Let's prove (2). Consider the natural map  $h: \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \varphi_*(\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})$ ; it is a presentation of colength  $d$  by Part (1) of Lemma (4.2). Set  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger := (\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})^*$ , and let  $h^*: \varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$  be the copresentation associated to  $h$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}$  is an  $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ -module contained in  $h^*(\varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger)$ , there is an  $\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ -submodule  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger \subset \mathcal{L}^\dagger$  such that  $h^*(\varphi_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}$ . The quotient  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger / \mathcal{I}^\dagger$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y^\dagger}$ -module. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\mathcal{L}^\dagger / \mathcal{I}^\dagger) &= \text{length}(h^*(\varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger) / h^*(\varphi_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger)) \\ &= \text{length}(\mathcal{K} / h^*(\varphi_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger)) - \text{length}(\mathcal{K} / h^*(\varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger)) \\ &= \text{length}(\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}) - \text{length}((\varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger)^* / \mathcal{K}^*) = c - d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $0 \leq c - d$ .

Suppose  $t \in K_\varphi^{n, r}$ . Then there is a presentation  $u: \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  of colength  $r$ . Now,  $u$  factors through  $h: \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \varphi_*(\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})$ . So  $d \leq r$ . Consider the associated copresentation  $u^*: \varphi_*(\mathcal{J}^\dagger)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\text{Cok}(u^*)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of length  $r$ , we have  $r \leq c$ , as desired.

Conversely, suppose  $d \leq r \leq c$ . Then there is a subsheaf  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger$  of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger$  with  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger \supset \mathcal{I}^\dagger$  and  $\text{length}(\mathcal{L}^\dagger / \mathcal{K}^\dagger) = r - d$ . So the composition,

$$\varphi_* \mathcal{K}^\dagger \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger \xrightarrow{h^*} \mathcal{K}$$

is a copresentation of colength  $r$  precisely. So  $t \in K_\varphi^{n, r}$ , and the proposition is proved.

**Proposition (4.4).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and  $Y \subseteq C$  a closed subset. Fix  $n$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *The open subsets  $\bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k}$  of  $\bar{J}_C^n$  provide an exhaustive increasing filtration:*

$$\bar{J}_{C, Y}^n = \bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, 0} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k} = \bar{J}_C^n.$$

(2) *If, as  $s$  ranges over the geometric points of  $S$ , there is an upper bound  $\Delta$  on the genus drop to the partial normalization along  $Y(s)$  of  $C(s)$ , then*

$$\bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, \Delta} = \bar{J}_C^n.$$

**Proof.** It follows directly from the definitions that  $\alpha_\omega^{n, 0}$  is equal to the identity of  $\bar{J}_C^n$ ; whence,  $\bar{J}_{C, Y}^n = \bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, 0}$ . Now, forming  $\bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k}$  commutes with changing  $S$ ; whence, so does forming  $\bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k}$ . Hence, it suffices to check on the geometric fibers that  $\bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k} \subseteq \bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k+1}$  for any  $k$  and that  $\bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, \Delta} = \bar{J}_C^n$ . Thus we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field.

Let  $t \in \bar{J}_C^n$  be a closed point, and  $\mathcal{K}$  a corresponding sheaf. Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be the partial normalization of  $C$  along  $Y$ , and set  $d := \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{K}^*)$ . Now,  $\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is invertible along the conductor subscheme because  $C^\dagger$  is smooth there. So Part (1) of Proposition (4.3) applies, and it says that  $t \in \bar{J}_{C, Y}^{n, k}$  if and only if  $k \geq \delta - d$ . Hence, if

$t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$ , then  $t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k+1}$ . In addition,  $t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,\Delta}$  because  $\Delta \geq \delta$ . Thus the proposition is proved.

**Proposition (4.5).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves,  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  a birational  $S$ -map,  $\delta$  its genus drop,  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  its conductor subschemes. Fix  $n$ .*

- (1) *Then  $\bigcup_{r \geq 0} K_\varphi^{n,r} = \bar{J}_C^n$ . If the genus drop to the partial normalization of every geometric fiber  $C(s)$  along  $Y(s)$  is bounded by  $\Delta$ , then  $\bigcup_{r \geq 0}^\Delta K_\varphi^{n,r} = \bar{J}_C^n$*
- (2) *If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger$ , then  $\bigcup_{r \geq 0}^\delta K_\varphi^{n,r} = \bar{J}_C^n$ .*
- (3) *If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger$  and if  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , then  $K_\varphi^{n,\delta} = \bar{J}_C^n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $t$  be a geometric point of  $\bar{J}_C^n$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  a corresponding sheaf on  $C(t)$ . Set  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger := \mathcal{K} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger := \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$ , both of these products being the quotient of the corresponding tensor product by its torsion subsheaf. Let  $\psi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C(t)$  be the partial normalization of  $C(t)$  along  $Y(t)$ , and  $\tilde{\delta}$  the genus drop along  $\psi$ . Similarly, set  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ .

Apply Lemma (4.2) with  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{K}^*$ . Since  $Y(t)$  contains the conductor subscheme of  $\varphi(t)$ , Part (1) of Lemma (4.2) implies that the natural map  $h: \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger$  is a presentation for  $\varphi$ , say of colength  $d$ . Hence  $t \in K_\varphi^{n,d}$ .

Let  $u: \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \psi_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  be the natural injection,  $r$  its colength. Then  $u$  factors through  $h$  because  $\psi$  factors through  $\varphi(t)$ . So  $d \leq r$ . Now,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is invertible along  $\psi^{-1}Y(t)$  because  $\tilde{C}$  is smooth there. So Parts (2) and (3) of Lemma (4.2) imply that  $0 \leq \tilde{\delta} - r$ . Hence  $d \leq \tilde{\delta}$ . If  $\tilde{\delta} \leq \Delta$ , then  $d \leq \Delta$ . Thus (1) holds.

Suppose  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger$ . Then  $C^\dagger(s)$  is the partial normalization of  $C(s)$  along  $Y(s)$  for every geometric point  $s$  of  $S$ . Taking  $\Delta := \delta$  in (1), we obtain (2).

Since  $C^\dagger(t)$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger(t)$ , we have  $\tilde{\delta} = \delta$ . So  $d \leq \delta$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger$  is also invertible along  $Y^\dagger(t)$ . Apply Lemma (4.2) to  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{K}$  now. Parts (2) and (3) imply that

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{K}^\dagger/\mathcal{K}) \leq \delta. \quad (4.5.1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the conductor ideal on  $C(t)$  of  $\varphi(t)$ . Set

$$c := \text{length}(\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}) \text{ and } \delta' := \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{C(t)}/\mathcal{I}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$  and since  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger$  is invertible along  $Y^\dagger(t)$ , we have

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{K}^\dagger/\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}) = \delta + \delta'. \quad (4.5.2)$$

From (4.5.1) and (4.5.2), we get

$$c = \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^\dagger/\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}) - \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^\dagger/\mathcal{K}) \geq \delta'.$$

Suppose now that  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ . Then  $\delta' = \delta$ , and so  $d \leq \delta \leq c$ . Hence, Part (2) of Proposition (4.3) implies that  $t \in K_\varphi^{n,\delta}$ . Thus the proposition is proved.

**Remark (4.6).** Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve of arithmetic genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field, and  $Y$  a closed point. Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be the partial normalization of  $C$  at  $Y$ , and  $\delta$  the genus drop. Fix  $n$ . In this setting, the following statement holds:

$$\text{If } K_\varphi^{n,\delta} = \bar{J}_C^n, \text{ then } C \text{ is Gorenstein at } Y.$$

To prove it, let  $t \in \bar{J}_C^n$  be a closed point, and  $\mathcal{K}$  a corresponding sheaf. Say  $t \in K_\varphi^{n,r}$ . Then there is a presentation  $h: \mathcal{K}^\star \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{L}^\dagger$  of colength  $r$ . Let  $h^\star: \varphi_* \mathcal{L}^{\dagger\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  be the associated copresentation. Let  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  be the conductor ideal. Then  $\mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K} \subset \text{Im}(h^\star)$ . Hence

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}) \geq \text{colength}(h^\star) = r.$$

Assume now that  $\mathcal{K}$  is invertible at  $Y$ . Then

$$\text{length}(\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}) = \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_C/\mathcal{I}_Y).$$

However,  $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_C/\mathcal{I}_Y) \leq \delta$ , and equality holds (if and) only if  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Y$ . Hence,  $r \leq \delta$ , and if  $r = \delta$ , then  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Y$ . Finally, if  $K_\varphi^{n,\delta} = \bar{J}_C^n$ , then all the preceding considerations apply to any closed point  $t \in J_C^n$ , and so  $C$  is Gorenstein at  $Y$ .

In any event,  $K_\varphi^{n,\delta}$  is closed and contains  $\iota J_C^{2g-2-n}$ , which is open. Hence, if  $\bar{J}_C^n$  is irreducible, then  $K_\varphi^{n,\delta} = \bar{J}_C^n$ . Thus we obtain the following corollary:

*If  $\bar{J}_C^n$  is irreducible, then  $C$  is Gorenstein.*

In fact, if  $\bar{J}_C^n$  is irreducible, then  $C$  has embedding dimension 2 at every singular point; this stronger result was obtained in [9, (1), p. 277] and [10, Theorem A, p. 212].

On the other hand, our proof yields the following sharper result:

*If the point representing  $\omega_C$  lies in the closure of  $J_C^{2g-2}$ , then  $C$  is Gorenstein.*

Indeed, if so, then  $0 \in J_C^0$  lies in the closure of  $\iota J_C^{2g-2}$ . So  $0 \in K_\varphi^{0,\delta}$ . Hence, if we take  $t := 0$ ,  $n := 0$  and  $r := \delta$ , then the considerations above imply that  $C$  is Gorenstein.

**Example (4.7).** Here is an example where  $\kappa_\varphi^{n,r}$  is not surjective for any integer  $r \geq 0$ . Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field. Assume that  $C$  has a unique singularity  $Q$ . Assume also that the normalization map  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  has genus drop  $\delta$  greater than 1, and that the conductor subscheme  $Y \subset C$  is of length 1, hence equal to  $Q$ . A concrete choice is

$$C := \{(s^5 : s^2 t^3 : st^4 : t^5) \in \mathbf{P}^3 \mid (s : t) \in \mathbf{P}^1\} \text{ and } Q := (1 : 0 : 0 : 0).$$

Since  $C^\dagger$  is smooth,  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$  is irreducible. Since  $Y = Q$ , Proposition (3.5) implies that  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  is a Grassmann bundle over  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^n$ . Hence,  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  is irreducible as well for every  $r \geq 0$ . If  $\kappa_\varphi^{n,r}$  were surjective for some  $r$ , then  $\bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r}$  would be irreducible. However,  $C$  is not Gorenstein at  $Q$  because  $\delta > 1$  and  $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 1$ . So  $\bar{J}_C^{n+\delta-r}$  is reducible by [9, (1), p. 277]; alternatively, see Remark (4.6). Hence  $\kappa_\varphi^{n,r}$  is not surjective for any  $r \geq 0$ .

**Proposition (4.8).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves,  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  a birational  $S$ -map, and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  the conductor subscheme. If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger$ , then  $L_\varphi^{n,r}$  is equal to the open subscheme of  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$  parameterizing presentations whose adjoint is surjective.*

**Proof.** Forming the adjoint commutes with changing the base; moreover, surjectivity may be checked on the fibers. Hence it suffices to prove the following statement: let  $t$  be a geometric point of  $P_\varphi^{n,r}$ , and  $u: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  a corresponding presentation on the fiber  $C(t)$ ; then  $t$  lies in  $L_\varphi^{n,r}$  if and only if the adjoint  $u^\sharp: \varphi(t)^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  is surjective.

Form  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger := \mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$ , the quotient of  $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(t)}$  by its torsion subsheaf. Form the natural presentation  $h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger$ , and let  $d$  be its colength. Then  $u$  factors as follows:

$$u: \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{h} \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{I}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\varphi(t)_* u^\dagger} \varphi(t)_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger$$

where  $u^\dagger: \mathcal{I}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  is the induced map. Then  $\varphi(t)_* u^\dagger$  is injective. Now,  $u$  is of colength  $r$ . Hence  $\varphi(t)_* u^\dagger$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $d = r$ , or equivalently,  $d \geq r$ . Now,  $u^\sharp$  is surjective if and only if  $u^\dagger$  is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $u^\sharp$  is surjective if and only if  $d \geq r$ .

On the other hand,  $t$  lies in  $L_\varphi^{n,r}$  if and only if the point representing  $\mathcal{I}^\star$  lies in  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{m,\delta-r}$ , where  $\delta$  is the genus drop along  $\varphi$  and  $m := 2g - 2 + r - \delta - n$ , where  $g$  is the arithmetic genus of  $C/S$ . Let's apply Part (1) of Proposition (4.3) with  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{I}^\star$ . We conclude that  $t$  lies in  $L_\varphi^{n,r}$  if and only if  $\delta - r \geq \delta - d$ . Therefore, by the preceding paragraph,  $t$  lies in  $L_\varphi^{n,r}$  if and only if  $u^\sharp$  is surjective. Thus the proposition is proved.

**Theorem (4.9).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves,  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map,  $\delta$  the genus drop, and  $Y \subset C$  the conductor subscheme. Fix  $n$ . Let  $g$  be the arithmetic genus of  $C/S$ , and set  $m := 2g - 2 - n$ . Fix  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq \delta$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along the conductor subscheme  $Y^\dagger$ , then  $\iota \circ \kappa_\varphi$  restricts to a closed embedding of schemes,  $L_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k} \hookrightarrow \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$ .*

(2) *If  $C^\dagger/S$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger$  and  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , then set-theoretically*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k-1} &= K_\varphi^{n,\delta-k}, \\ \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k-1} &= \iota \circ \kappa_\varphi(L_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** To prove (1), we may change the base via an étale surjective map  $S' \rightarrow S$ . We may thus assume that there exists a universal presentation on  $C \times P_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k}$ . Since  $\kappa_\varphi$  is proper and  $\iota$  is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that the restriction,

$$\kappa_\varphi|_{L_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k}},$$

is a monomorphism.

Let  $h: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  be a presentation corresponding to a  $T$ -point of  $L_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k}$ . By Proposition (4.8), the adjoint  $h^\sharp: \varphi_T^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  is surjective. Set  $U := C - Y$ . Then  $\varphi_T$  is an isomorphism over  $U_T$ . Let  $i: U_T \rightarrow C_T$  denote the inclusion, and  $j: U_T \rightarrow C_T^\dagger$  its lifting. Let  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  denote the image of the natural map  $u: \varphi_T^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow j_* i^* \mathcal{I}$ , and  $v: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{I}^\dagger$  its adjoint. We need only show that  $v$  is a presentation equivalent to  $h$ .

Consider the following commutative diagram on  $C_T^\dagger$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_T^* \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{h^\sharp} & \mathcal{J}^\dagger \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow w \\ j_* i^* \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{j_* j^* h^\sharp} & j_* j^* \mathcal{J}^\dagger \end{array}$$

where  $w$  is the natural map. Since  $\text{Cok}(h)$  is supported outside  $U_T$ , the map  $j_* j^* h^\sharp$  is an isomorphism. Since the associated points of  $\mathcal{J}^\dagger$  lie in  $j(U_T)$ , the map  $w$  is injective. Since  $h^\sharp$  is surjective, and  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  is the image of  $u$ , the map  $j_* j^* h^\sharp$  induces an isomorphism  $c: \mathcal{I}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  such that  $h^\sharp = cu$ . By adjunction,  $h$  is equivalent to  $v$ . Thus (1) is proved.

Consider (2) now. The asserted equations may be checked on the fibers over  $S$ . Since  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , forming the presentation scheme commutes with changing  $S$ ; see (3.1). So we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. Let  $t$  be a closed point of  $\bar{J}_C^n$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  a corresponding sheaf on  $C$ . Set

$$c := \text{length}(\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{K}) \text{ and } d := \text{length}(\mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} / \mathcal{K}^*).$$

Since  $C^\dagger$  is smooth along  $Y^\dagger$ , Part (1) of Proposition (4.3) says that

$$t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k-1} \text{ if and only if } k-1 \geq \delta-d. \quad (4.9.1)$$

In addition, since  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , Part (3) of Proposition (4.5) says that there is a presentation  $u: \mathcal{K}^\star \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger$  of colength  $\delta$ . Since the associated copresentation  $u^*: \varphi_* \mathcal{J}^{\dagger\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is of colength  $\delta$  as well, we have  $c \geq \delta$ . Hence, Part (2) of Proposition (4.3) says that

$$t \in K_\varphi^{n,\delta-k} \text{ if and only if } d \leq \delta-k. \quad (4.9.2)$$

Since either  $d \geq \delta-k+1$  or  $d \leq \delta-k$ , it follows from (4.9.1) and (4.9.2) that  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k-1}$  and  $K_\varphi^{n,\delta-k}$  are disjoint and cover  $\bar{J}_C^n$ . Thus the first asserted equation holds.

By Part (1) of Proposition (4.3), we have

$$t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k-1} \text{ if and only if } k = \delta-d. \quad (4.9.3)$$

On the other hand, by definition,

$$\iota \circ \kappa_\varphi(L_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k}) = \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} \cap K_\varphi^{n,\delta-k}. \quad (4.9.4)$$

By Part (2) of Proposition (4.3),  $t \in K_\varphi^{n,\delta-k}$  if and only if  $d \leq \delta-k$ . By Part (1) of Proposition (4.3),  $t \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$  if and only if  $k \geq \delta-d$ . Hence, (4.9.4) implies that

$$t \in \iota \circ \kappa_\varphi(L_\varphi^{m-k,\delta-k}) \text{ if and only if } k = \delta-d. \quad (4.9.5)$$

Combining (4.9.3) and (4.9.5), we obtain the second asserted equation. Thus the Theorem is proved.

## 5. The lifted Abel map

**Proposition (5.1).** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on a flat projective family  $C/S$  of integral curves. Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map,  $Y \subseteq C$  its conductor subscheme, and  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  its conductor ideal. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *There is, up to equivalence, at most one presentation  $h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  whose adjoint  $h^\sharp: \varphi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  is surjective.*

(2) *Assume that there is a presentation  $h$  as in (1), and let  $h^*: \varphi_* \mathcal{F}^{\dagger\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\star$  be the associated map. If  $\text{Im}(h^*) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{F}^\star$ , then  $h$  is the only presentation whose source is  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

(3) *Assume that  $Y$  is flat, and that forming  $Y$  commutes with changing  $S$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}^\star$  is invertible along  $Y$ . Then there is one and only one presentation  $h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  whose source is  $\mathcal{F}$ ; its adjoint  $h^\sharp: \varphi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  is surjective, and  $\text{Im}(h^*) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{F}^\star$ .*

**Proof.** Let's prove (1) and (2) first. Let  $u: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{G}^\dagger$  be any presentation, and  $h$  be as in (1). Since  $h$  is a presentation,  $\text{Ker}(h^\sharp)$  contains no fiber of  $C/S$  in its support. So  $u^\sharp(\text{Ker}(h^\sharp))$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}^\dagger$  whose support contains no generic point of any fiber. Hence, since  $\mathcal{G}^\dagger$  is torsion-free,  $u^\sharp(\text{Ker}(h^\sharp)) = 0$ . So  $\text{Ker}(h^\sharp) \subset \text{Ker}(u^\sharp)$ . Hence, since  $h^\sharp$  is surjective,  $u^\sharp$  factors through a unique map  $v: \mathcal{F}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\dagger$ . By adjunction,  $u = \varphi_* v \circ h$ .

To prove (1), assume that  $u^\sharp$  is surjective as well. By reversing the roles of  $u$  and  $h$  in the above argument, we get an inverse to  $v$ . So  $u$  and  $h$  are equivalent. Thus (1) holds.

To prove (2), assume that  $\text{Im}(h^*) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{F}^\star$ . Let  $u^*: \varphi_* \mathcal{G}^{\dagger\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\star$  and  $v^*: \mathcal{G}^{\dagger\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\dagger\star}$  be the maps associated to  $u$  and  $v$ . They are injective. Moreover,  $u^* = h^* \circ \varphi_* v^*$ ; whence,  $\text{Im}(h^*) \supset \text{Im}(u^*)$ . Since  $u^*$  is a copresentation,  $\text{Im}(u^*) \supset \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{F}^\star$ . So  $\text{Im}(u^*) = \text{Im}(h^*)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_* v^*$  is an isomorphism. By Lemma (3.4),  $v^*$  is then an isomorphism, and so  $v$  is an isomorphism. Hence  $u$  and  $h$  are equivalent. Thus (2) holds.

Let's prove (3). It follows from the short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C/\mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}/\mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}/\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

that the conductor subscheme  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  is flat, and that forming  $Y^\dagger$  commutes with changing  $S$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^\star$  is invertible along  $Y$ , the pullback  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{F}^\star)$  is invertible along  $Y^\dagger$ . Hence the restrictions  $\mathcal{F}^\star|_Y$  and  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{F}^\star)|_{Y^\dagger}$  are flat, and forming them commutes with changing  $S$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}_Y\mathcal{F}^\star$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{Y^\dagger}\varphi^*(\mathcal{F}^\star)$  are flat, and forming them commutes with changing  $S$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{Y^\dagger}$  are the conductor ideals,  $\mathcal{I}_Y\mathcal{F}^\star = \varphi_*(\mathcal{I}_{Y^\dagger}\varphi^*(\mathcal{F}^\star))$ . So the inclusion  $\mathcal{I}_Y\mathcal{F}^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\star$  is a copresentation. Consider the associated presentation,

$$h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{F}^\dagger \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}^\dagger := (\mathcal{I}_{Y^\dagger}\varphi^*(\mathcal{F}^\star))^\star.$$

By construction,  $\text{Im}(h^\star) = \mathcal{I}_Y\mathcal{F}^\star$ . Next, we'll prove that the adjoint  $h^\sharp: \varphi^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  is surjective. Then (2) will imply that  $h$  is unique. Thus  $h$  will be as asserted.

Let  $s \in S$  and set  $\mathcal{J}^\dagger := \text{Im}(h^\sharp(s))$ . Then  $h(s)$  factors through  $\varphi(s)_*\mathcal{J}^\dagger$ , and the associated copresentation factors as follows:

$$h(s)^\star: \varphi(s)_*\mathcal{F}^\dagger(s)^\star \xrightarrow{v} \varphi(s)_*\mathcal{J}^{\dagger\star} \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{F}(s)^\star.$$

So  $\text{Im}(h(s)^\star) \subset \text{Im}(w)$ . Now,  $\text{Im}(h(s)^\star)$  is equal to  $\mathcal{I}_{Y(s)}\mathcal{F}(s)^\star$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_{Y(s)}\mathcal{F}(s)^\star$  is the largest  $\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger(s)}$ -module contained in  $\mathcal{F}(s)^\star$  because  $\mathcal{F}(s)^\star$  is invertible along  $Y(s)$ . Hence  $\text{Im}(h(s)^\star) = \text{Im}(w)$ . Hence  $v$  is bijective. So  $v^\star: \varphi(s)_*\mathcal{J}^\dagger \rightarrow \varphi(s)_*\mathcal{F}^\dagger(s)$  is bijective. Hence  $\mathcal{J}^\dagger = \mathcal{F}^\dagger(s)$ . Hence  $h^\sharp(s)$  is surjective for each  $s \in S$ . Therefore,  $h^\sharp$  is surjective. The proof is now complete.

**Example (5.2).** Preserve the conditions of (5.1). Suppose  $Y$  is flat and forming  $Y$  commutes with changing  $S$ . (As noted in (3.1), these conditions hold if  $\delta = 1$  or if  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ .) Since  $\omega_C^\star = \mathcal{O}_C$ , by Part (3) of Proposition (5.1), there is a unique presentation  $h: \omega_C \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{F}^\dagger$ . In this case, the proof shows that  $\mathcal{F}^\dagger = \mathcal{I}_{Y^\dagger}^\star$ . If also  $C/S$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$ , then the comorphism  $\varphi^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  is the unique presentation with source  $\mathcal{O}_C$ , again by Part (3) of Proposition (5.1).

Though important, the sheaves  $\omega_C$  and  $\mathcal{O}_C$  are not the only examples of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  admitting one and only one presentation with  $\mathcal{F}$  as source. For instance, over an algebraically closed field, consider the map,

$$\varphi: \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^4 \text{ given by } \varphi(s:t) := (s^7 : s^3t^4 : s^2t^5 : st^6 : t^7).$$

Set  $C := \varphi(\mathbf{P}^1)$  and  $Q := \varphi(1:0)$ . Define an ideal  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_C$  by  $\mathcal{F}_Q := (t^4, t^5)\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_R := \mathcal{O}_{C,R}$  for  $R \in C - Q$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} = t^4\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ , which is the conductor ideal. If there were a presentation of the form  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_*(t^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1})$  for  $n \leq 3$ , then we'd have  $t^7 \in \mathcal{F}_Q$ , a contradiction. So  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_*(t^4\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1})$  is the unique presentation with  $\mathcal{F}$  as source. Note that  $\mathcal{F}_Q$  is not principal. Neither is  $\mathcal{F}_Q^\star$  because  $\omega_{C,Q} \cong (t^4, t^5, t^6)\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$ .

**Definition (5.3).** Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves of arithmetic genus  $g$ . Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map,  $\delta$  its genus change,  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  its conductor subschemes; see (3.1). As in (3.9), let  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^m$  denote the open subscheme of  $\bar{J}_C^m$  of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^\star$  is invertible along  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^\dagger$  be torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on  $C/S$  and  $C^\dagger/S$  of degree  $f$  and  $f'$ , respectively. As in (4.1), set

$$\begin{aligned} W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,k} &:= W_{\mathcal{F}}^{m,k} \cap (\bar{J}_{C,Y}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^k), \\ W_{Y^\dagger,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^{m,k} &:= W_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^{m,k} \cap (\bar{J}_{C^\dagger,Y^\dagger}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^k). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, set  $W_{Y,C}^{m,k} := W_{Y,\mathcal{O}_C}^{m,k}$  and  $W_{Y^\dagger,C^\dagger}^{m,k} := W_{Y^\dagger,\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}^{m,k}$ .

Assume that there is a presentation,

$$h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{F}^\dagger,$$

whose adjoint  $h^\sharp: \varphi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  is surjective. Define an  $S$ -map,

$$\theta_h: \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^1 \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^1,$$

as follows: given a  $T$ -point  $q$  of  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^1$ , let  $\mathcal{J}^\dagger \subset \mathcal{F}_T^\dagger$  be the corresponding subsheaf, and define  $\theta_h(q)$  to be the  $T$ -point of  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^1$  representing the subsheaf  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$  given by

$$\mathcal{J} := h_T^{-1}(\varphi_{T*} \mathcal{J}^\dagger) \subset \mathcal{F}_T.$$

To see that  $\theta_h$  is well defined, we have to see that  $\mathcal{F}_T/\mathcal{J}$  is flat and of length 1. To do so, it is enough to see that the following composite map  $u$  is surjective:

$$u: \mathcal{F}_T \xrightarrow{h_T} \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{F}_T^\dagger \rightarrow \varphi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_T^\dagger/\mathcal{J}^\dagger).$$

So suppose there is a point  $t \in T$  such that the fiber  $u(t)$  is not surjective. Since  $\mathcal{F}^\dagger(t)/\mathcal{J}^\dagger(t)$  is of length 1, it follows that  $h(t)$  factors through  $\varphi(t)_* \mathcal{J}^\dagger(t)$ , and thus the adjoint  $h^\sharp(t)$  factors through  $\mathcal{J}^\dagger(t)$ . However, on the contrary,  $h^\sharp$  is surjective. Thus  $u$  is surjective, and so  $\theta_h$  is well defined.

Next we are going to define an  $S$ -map,

$$\rho^m: \bar{J}_{C,Y}^m \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^{m-2\delta}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_T/T$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y_T$ . Then the pullback  $\varphi_T^*(\mathcal{I}^*)$  is torsion-free rank-1 on  $C_T^\dagger/T$ . Sending  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\varphi_T^*(\mathcal{I}^*)^*$  defines  $\rho^m$ . We will often abbreviate  $\rho^m$  by  $\rho$ .

Finally, form the following open subscheme:

$$V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m := (\rho \times 1)^{-1} W_{Y^\dagger,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^{m-2\delta,1} \subset \bar{J}_{C,Y}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^1.$$

It represents the étale sheaf associated to the subfunctor whose  $T$ -points are those pairs  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}^\dagger)$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y_T$  and  $\varphi_T^*(\mathcal{I}^*)$  is invertible where  $\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$  is not invertible. By sending  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}^\dagger)$  to

$$(\mathcal{I}^* \otimes (h_T|_{\mathcal{J}})^*)^*, \text{ where } \mathcal{J} := h_T^{-1}(\varphi_{T*} \mathcal{J}^\dagger) \text{ and } (h_T|_{\mathcal{J}}): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{J}^\dagger,$$

we define an  $S$ -map,

$$\lambda_{\varphi,h}^m: V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m \rightarrow P_{\varphi}^{r',\delta'} \text{ where } r' := m - 1 + f' - 2g + 2 \text{ and } \delta' := \delta + f' - f.$$

We will call  $\lambda_{\varphi,h}^m$  the *lifted Abel map* of degree  $m$ . We will often abbreviate  $\lambda_{\varphi,h}^m$  by  $\lambda_{\varphi,h}$ .

To see that  $\lambda_{\varphi,h}$  is well defined, we have to see that  $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes (h_T|_{\mathcal{J}})^*$  is a copresentation of colength  $\delta'$ . Consider the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{J} & \xrightarrow{h_T|_{\mathcal{J}}} & \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{J}^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \text{Cok}(h_T|_{\mathcal{J}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ \mathcal{F}_T & \xrightarrow{h_T} & \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{F}_T^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \text{Cok}(h_T) \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow & & \\ \varphi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_T^\dagger/\mathcal{J}^\dagger) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \varphi_{T*}(\mathcal{F}_T^\dagger/\mathcal{J}^\dagger) & & \end{array}$$

By the Nine Lemma, the induced map  $v$  is an isomorphism. Hence, since  $h$  is a presentation of colength  $\delta'$ , so is  $h_T|_{\mathcal{J}}$ .

Since  $\text{Cok}(h_T)$  has support in  $Y_T$ , the map  $h_T|_{\mathcal{J}}$  is an isomorphism off  $Y_T$ . Also  $\varphi_T$  restricts to an isomorphism from  $C_T^\dagger - Y_T^\dagger$  onto  $C_T - Y_T$ . Hence the points of  $C_T^\dagger - Y_T^\dagger$  where  $\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$  is not invertible are just those lying over the points of  $C_T - Y_T$  where  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is not invertible. On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y_T$ , its pullback  $\varphi_T^*(\mathcal{I}^*)$  is invertible along  $Y_T^\dagger$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes (h_T|_{\mathcal{J}})^*$  is a copresentation of colength  $\delta'$ . Thus  $\lambda_{\varphi,h}$  is well defined.

Note in passing that we have established the equation,

$$V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m = (1 \times \theta_h)^{-1} W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1}. \quad (5.3.1)$$

In particular,  $1 \times \theta_h$  carries  $V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m$  into  $W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1}$ .

**Theorem (5.4).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves of arithmetic genus  $g$ . Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map,  $\delta$  its genus change,  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  its conductor subschemes. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^\dagger$  be torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on  $C/S$  and  $C^\dagger/S$  of degrees  $f$  and  $f'$ . Assume there is a presentation  $h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  whose adjoint  $h^\#: \varphi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\dagger$  is surjective, and let  $h^*: \varphi_* \mathcal{F}^{\dagger*} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$  be the associated map. Fix  $m$ . Set*

$$r := m - 1 + f - 2g + 2, \quad r' := m - 1 + f' - 2g + 2, \quad \text{and } \delta' := \delta + f' - f.$$

*Then the following two diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\varphi,h}} & P_{\varphi}^{r',\delta'} \\ \rho \times 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_\varphi \\ W_{Y^\dagger,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^{m-2\delta,1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}} & \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^{r'} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\varphi,h}} & P_{\varphi}^{r',\delta'} \\ 1 \times \theta_h \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa_\varphi \\ W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}} & \bar{J}_C^r \end{array}$$

*The second is a product square if also  $\text{Im}(h^*) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{F}^*$ .*

**Proof.** For each diagram, commutativity results directly from the definitions. So there is a natural map,

$$\gamma: V_{\varphi,\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m \rightarrow W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1} \times_{\bar{J}_C^r} P_{\varphi}^{r',\delta'}.$$

Assume that  $\text{Im}(h^*) = \mathcal{I}_Y \mathcal{F}^*$ . Then we have to check that  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism. To do so, we may change the base to an étale covering of  $S$ . Thus we may assume that the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section. (Alternatively, we could work directly, over the given  $S$ , with the map of presheaves of  $T$ -points that we used to define  $\gamma$ .)

First, let's check that  $\gamma$  is surjective on  $T$ -points. So take  $S$ -maps,

$$(t_1, t_2): T \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C,Y}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}}^1 \quad \text{and } t: T \rightarrow P_{\varphi}^{r',\delta'},$$

where  $T$  is an arbitrary locally Noetherian  $S$ -scheme, such that  $(t_1, t_2)$  factors through  $W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1}$  and

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}(t_1, t_2) = \kappa_\varphi t. \quad (5.4.1)$$

Say  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$  defines  $t_2$ . Since the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section, there is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C_T/T$  defining  $t_1$ , and there is a presentation  $u: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{K}^\dagger$  defining  $t$ . Since  $(t_1, t_2)$  factors through  $W_{Y,\mathcal{F}}^{m,1}$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y_T$  and where  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is not invertible. Let  $U \subset C_T$  be the open subset where  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible.

Because of (5.4.1), the sheaves  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $(\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*)^*$  differ by tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $T$ . We may replace  $\mathcal{I}$  by its tensor product with this pullback, and so assume that  $\mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*$ . Then  $u$  induces a copresentation

$$u^*: \varphi_{T*} \mathcal{K}^{\dagger*} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*.$$

Define a subsheaf  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{J}^*$  as follows. On  $C_T - Y_T$  define  $\mathcal{L}$  to be  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . On  $U$  define  $\mathcal{L}$  to be  $\text{Im}(u^*)|U \otimes (\mathcal{I}^*|U)^{-1}$ . The sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined everywhere because  $U \supset Y_T$ . Since  $\text{Im}(u^*)$  is equal to  $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{J}^*$  away from  $Y_T$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is well defined and

$$\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \mathcal{L} = \text{Im}(u^*). \quad (5.4.2)$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is invertible along  $Y_T$ , it follows that there is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{J}^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger/T$  such that  $\mathcal{L} = \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$ .

Since  $u^*$  is a copresentation with colength  $\delta'$ , it follows that the quotient  $\mathcal{J}^*/\mathcal{L}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_T}$ -module of relative length  $\delta'$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{I}_{Y_T}\mathcal{J}^*$ . Now, the inclusion  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$  induces a map  $\mathcal{I}_{Y_T}\mathcal{F}_T^* \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Y_T}\mathcal{J}^*$ . Finally, our hypothesis that  $\text{Im}(h^*) = \mathcal{I}_Y\mathcal{F}^*$  implies that  $h_T^*(\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T^{\dagger*}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y_T}\mathcal{F}_T^*$ . Put together, these relations yield a map  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T^{\dagger*} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L} = \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$ , the map  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T^{\dagger*} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  and the inclusion  $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  yield maps  $w$  and  $v$ , which help form the following commutative diagram on  $C_T$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J} & \xrightarrow{v} & \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^\dagger \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow w \\ \mathcal{F}_T & \xrightarrow{h_T} & \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T^\dagger \end{array} \quad (5.4.3)$$

By Lemma (3.4), there is a unique map  $w^\dagger: \mathcal{J}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_T^\dagger$  such that  $w = \varphi_{T*}w^\dagger$ . Since the relative colengths of  $v$  and  $h_T$  are both equal to  $\delta'$ , that of  $w^\dagger$  is 1. Hence  $\text{Im}(w^\dagger)$  defines an  $S$ -map  $t_2^\dagger: T \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^1$ . It is clear from (5.4.3) that  $t_2 = \theta_h t_2^\dagger$ . Now,  $(t_1, t_2)$  factors through  $W_{Y, \mathcal{F}}^{m, 1}$ . Hence, by (5.3.1),

$$(t_1, t_2^\dagger): T \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C, Y}^m \times \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^1$$

factors through  $V_{\varphi, \mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m$ . Finally, it is clear from (5.4.2) that  $t = \lambda_{\varphi, h}(t_1, t_2^\dagger)$ . Hence,

$$\gamma(t_1, t_2^\dagger) = ((t_1, t_2), t).$$

Thus  $\gamma$  is indeed surjective on  $T$ -points.

To show that  $\gamma$  is injective on  $T$ -points, let  $t'_2: T \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}^\dagger}^1$  be another  $S$ -map such that  $(t_1, t'_2)$  factors through  $V_{\varphi, \mathcal{F}^\dagger}^m$  and such that

$$\gamma(t_1, t'_2) = ((t_1, t_2), t). \quad (5.4.4)$$

We have to show that  $t'_2 = t_2^\dagger$ . To do so, say  $t'_2$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger \subset \mathcal{F}_T^\dagger$ , and set

$$\mathcal{N} := h_T^{-1}(\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{N}^\dagger) \subset \mathcal{F}_T.$$

By definition,  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to  $\theta_h(t'_2)$ . However,  $\theta_h(t'_2) = t_2$  by (5.4.4). Hence  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J}$ .

By definition,  $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes (h_T|\mathcal{N})^*$  is the copresentation corresponding to  $\lambda_{\varphi, h}(t_1, t'_2)$ . The latter is equal to  $t$  by (5.4.4). However,  $t = \lambda_{\varphi, h}(t_1, t_2^\dagger)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes (h_T|\mathcal{N})^*$  and  $u^*$  are equivalent copresentations. Now,  $\mathcal{L} = \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$ ; so (5.4.2) yields  $\text{Im}(u^*) = \mathcal{I}^* \otimes \varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$ . Also  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^{\dagger*}$  and  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{N}^{\dagger*}$  have the same image in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . Hence,  $w(\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^\dagger)$  and  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  are equal in  $\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{F}_T^\dagger$ . Hence,  $w^\dagger(\mathcal{J}^\dagger)$  and  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  are equal in  $\mathcal{F}_T^\dagger$ . So  $t'_2 = t_2^\dagger$ . Thus  $\gamma$  is injective on  $T$ -points, and the proof is complete.

**Corollary (5.5).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves of arithmetic genus  $g$ . Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational  $S$ -map,  $\delta$  its genus change,  $Y \subset C$  and  $Y^\dagger \subset C^\dagger$  its conductor subschemes, and  $\varphi^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$  its comorphism. Fix  $m$ . Assume that  $C/S$  and  $C^\dagger/S$  are Gorenstein, set  $V_{\varphi, C^\dagger}^m := V_{\varphi, \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}^m$ , and define the map*

$$\Lambda_\varphi: V_{\varphi, C^\dagger}^m \rightarrow P_\varphi^{m-1, \delta} \text{ by } (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}^\dagger) \mapsto \mathcal{I} \otimes (\varphi_T^\sharp|\mathcal{J}) \text{ where } \mathcal{J} := (\varphi_T^\sharp)^{-1}(\varphi_{T*}\mathcal{J}^\dagger).$$

Then the following two diagrams are commutative, and the second is a product square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_{\varphi, C^\dagger}^m & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_\varphi} & P_\varphi^{m-1, \delta} \\
 \varphi^* \times 1 \downarrow & & \pi_\varphi \downarrow \\
 W_{Y^\dagger, C^\dagger}^{m, 1} & \xrightarrow{A_{C^\dagger}} & \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}^{m-1}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 V_{\varphi, C^\dagger}^m & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_\varphi} & P_\varphi^{m-1, \delta} \\
 1 \times \varphi \downarrow & \square & \kappa_\varphi \downarrow \\
 W_{Y, C}^{m, 1} & \xrightarrow{A_C} & \bar{J}_C^{m-1}
 \end{array}$$

where  $A_{C^\dagger}$  and  $A_C$  are given by simple tensor product.

**Proof.** Observe that  $\theta_{\varphi^\#} = \varphi$  under the natural identifications,

$$\mathrm{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1 = C \text{ and } \mathrm{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}^1 = C^\dagger;$$

so in the second diagram,  $1 \times \varphi$  is well defined. Since  $C/S$  is Gorenstein, a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C$  is invertible precisely where  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is; similarly, for  $C^\dagger/S$ . It follows that  $\varphi^* \times 1$ ,  $A_{C^\dagger}$ , and  $A_C$  are well defined. By the same token,  $\Lambda_\varphi$  is well defined, because  $\varphi_T^\#|_{\mathcal{J}}$  is a presentation of colength  $\delta$ , as we noted toward the end of (5.3). Thus the diagrams make sense. For each one, commutativity results directly from the definitions.

To prove that the second diagram is a product square, apply Theorem (5.4) with  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C$ . We have  $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi^{\#*}) = \mathcal{I}_Y \omega_C$  by (3.1.1) since  $C/S$  is Gorenstein. Now, since the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_C$  is invertible, tensoring with it defines an isomorphism,

$$\tau': P_\varphi^{m-1-2g+2, \delta} \xrightarrow{\sim} P_\varphi^{m-1, \delta}.$$

We have  $\Lambda_\varphi = \tau' \circ \lambda_{\varphi, \varphi^\#}$ ; indeed, we can prove it the same way that we proved that  $A_C = \tau \circ \alpha_C$  in the proof of Corollary (2.6). Hence the second diagram is a product square because, in Theorem (5.4), the second diagram is one. The proof is now complete.

## 6. Double points

**Proposition (6.1).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , and  $Q$  a singular point. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the point  $Q$  is a double point;*
- (ii) *there exist variables  $u, v$  and formal power series  $a(u), b(u)$  such that*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C, Q} = k[[u, v]]/(v^2 + a(u)uv + b(u)u^2);$$

- (iii) *given any torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C$ , at most two elements are required to generate its stalk  $\mathcal{I}_Q$ ;*
- (iv) *we have  $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{C, Q}/\mathfrak{m}_{C, Q}^3 \leq 5$ ;*
- (v) *along the blowup  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  at  $Q$ , the genus drop  $\delta_\phi$  is equal to 1.*

**Proof.** For convenience, set  $A := \mathcal{O}_{C, Q}$ , set  $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_{C, Q}$ , set  $I := \mathcal{I}_Q$ , and set  $B := (\phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}})_{\tilde{Q}}$ .

Assume (i). Since  $k$  is infinite, there is by [11, Thm. 22, p. 294] a parameter  $u$  of  $A$  such that the ideal  $(u)$  has multiplicity 2. Since  $u$  is not a zero-divisor,  $A/(u)$  has length 2. Moreover, the completion  $\widehat{A}$  contains the formal power series ring  $k[[u]]$ . Hence  $\widehat{A}$  is a free module of rank 2 over  $k[[u]]$ . Therefore,  $\widehat{A}$  is of the form  $k[[u, v]]/(F)$  where  $F$  is a monic polynomial of degree 2 in  $v$  with coefficients in  $k[[u]]$ . Since  $A$  is singular,  $F$  must lie in  $(u, v)^2$ ; so  $F$  must be of the form indicated in (ii). Thus (ii) holds.

Assume (ii) and consider (iii). Then the completion  $\widehat{I}$  is a free  $k[[u]]$ -module. It is of rank 2 because  $\widehat{A}$  is. Thus (iii) holds.

Assume (iii). Then (iv) holds because we always have

$$\dim_k A/\mathfrak{m}^3 = \dim_k A/\mathfrak{m} + \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 + \dim_k \mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^3. \quad (6.1.1)$$

Assume (iv). Then the right side of (6.1.1) is at most 5. Now, since  $A$  is singular, then both  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^3$  have dimension at least 2, so exactly 2. Hence,  $\widehat{A}$  is of the form  $k[[u, v]]/(F)$  where  $F \in (u, v)^2$ , but  $F \notin (u, v)^3$ . Consequently,  $\widehat{A}$  has multiplicity 2. So (i) holds.

Assume (ii) and consider (v). Set  $w := v/u$ . Then  $\widehat{B} = \widehat{A}[w]$ . Now,  $\widehat{A}[w] = \widehat{A} + kw$  since  $w^2 + a(u)w + b(u) = 0$ . Hence, (v) holds.

Assume (v). Then we have

$$\dim_k B/\mathfrak{m} = \dim_k B/A + \dim_k A/\mathfrak{m} = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

Now,  $\dim_k B/\mathfrak{m}B > 1$ ; otherwise,  $A/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}B$  is surjective, and so, by Nakayama's lemma,  $A \rightarrow B$  is surjective, contrary to our hypothesis. Hence  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}B = tB$  for some  $t$ . Therefore,

$$B/\mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^3 \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots$$

Consequently, Equation (6.1.1) yields (iv). Alternatively, (i) holds by the definition of multiplicity. The proof of the proposition is now complete.

**Proposition (6.2).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and  $Q$  a flat closed subscheme of the singular locus of  $C/S$  (which is the subscheme of  $C$  defined by the first Fitting ideal of the sheaf of differentials  $\Omega_{C/S}^1$ ). Assume that  $Q(s)$  is a double point of  $C(s)$  for each geometric point  $s$  of  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{O}_C$  denote the ideal of  $Q$ ; set  $\mathcal{B} := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $C^* := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $\widetilde{C}$  be the blowup of  $C$  along  $Q$ . Then  $C^*$  and  $\widetilde{C}$  are  $C$ -isomorphic, flat projective families of integral curves with genus drop 1 from  $C$ ; moreover, forming  $C^*$ ,  $\widetilde{C}$ , and the isomorphism commutes with changing  $S$ .*

**Proof.** To prove that  $\widetilde{C}/S$  is flat and that, given a base change  $T \rightarrow S$ , the induced map  $(C \times T)^\sim \rightarrow \widetilde{C} \times T$  is an isomorphism, we may replace  $S$  by  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$  where  $s$  is an arbitrary point of  $S$ . Then, we may replace  $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$  by a flat local over-ring whose residue field is algebraically closed; such a ring exists by [8, O<sub>III</sub> 10.3.1, p. 20]. We may now embed  $C$  into a projective family of surfaces  $F/S$  that is smooth along  $Q$  since  $Q(s)$  is a surficial singularity. Indeed, we can construct  $F/S$  using Bertini's theorem on the hypersurfaces of high degree containing  $C$  in an ambient projective space; for more details, see the beginning of the proof of [7, (3.4)].

Consider the nested sequence  $Q \subset C \subset F$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}_{Q/F}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{C/F}$  denote the ideals. Restrict to  $Q$  the usual right exact sequence, getting

$$\mathcal{I}_{C/F}/\mathcal{I}_{C/F}^2|_Q \rightarrow \Omega_{F/S}^1|_Q \rightarrow \Omega_{C/S}^1|_Q \rightarrow 0.$$

The middle term is locally free of rank 2; in fact, it is equal to  $\mathcal{I}_{Q/F}/\mathcal{I}_{Q/F}^2$ . So we can use this sequence to compute the first Fitting ideal of the third term. We find that, since  $Q$  lies in the singular locus of  $C/S$ , we have  $\mathcal{I}_{C/F} \subset \mathcal{I}_{Q/F}^2$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{I}_{C/F}(s) \not\subset \mathcal{I}_{Q/F}^3(s)$  for each  $s \in S$  because  $Q(s)$  is a double point.

Form the blowup map  $\beta: \widetilde{F} \rightarrow F$  along  $Q$ , and denote the exceptional divisor by  $E$ . Now,  $\beta^{-1}C$  is a relative effective Cartier divisor on a neighborhood of  $E$  in  $\widetilde{F}$  because  $F/S$  is smooth along  $Q$ . Moreover,  $\beta^{-1}C > 2E$  because  $\mathcal{I}_{C/F} \subset \mathcal{I}_{Q/F}^2$ . Let  $R$  be the residual scheme to  $2E$  in  $\beta^{-1}C$ ; so,  $R = \beta^{-1}C - 2E$  on a neighborhood of  $E$ , and  $R = \widetilde{C}$  off  $E$ . Then  $R$  is flat, and forming it commutes with changing  $S$ . Now,  $E$  restricts to

a Cartier divisor on  $R$  because  $\mathcal{I}_{C/F}(s) \not\subset \mathcal{I}_{Q/F}^3(s)$  for each  $s \in S$ . Since  $E$  restricts to a Cartier divisor on  $\tilde{C}$  as well,  $\tilde{C} = R$  because each side is equal to its closure in  $\tilde{F}$  of its restriction to  $\tilde{F} - E$ . Hence  $\tilde{C}$  is flat, and forming it commutes with changing  $S$ . Moreover, by Lemma (6.1), the genus drop from  $C$  to  $\tilde{C}$  is 1.

It remains to prove that  $C^*$  is equal to  $\tilde{C}$ . Let  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  denote the structure map. Set  $\tilde{Q} := \phi^{-1}Q$ , and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  denote its ideal. The genus drop of  $\phi$  is 1, so  $\tilde{Q}/S$  is flat of relative length 2 by [5, Prop. 2, p. 17]. So  $\mathcal{M} = \phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{B} = \text{Hom}(\phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{M}}).$$

By Lemma (3.4), or rather its local version, we have

$$\text{Hom}(\phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = \phi_*\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Now,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is invertible; so  $\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B} = \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ . Now,  $\text{Spec}(\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}) = \tilde{C}$  since  $\phi$  is finite, so affine. Therefore,  $C^* = \tilde{C}$ , and the proof is complete.

**Theorem (6.3).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and  $Q$  a flat closed subscheme of the singular locus of  $C/S$ . Assume that  $Q(s)$  is a double point of  $C(s)$  for each geometric point  $s$  of  $S$ . Let  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  be the blowup along  $Q$ . If the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section, then the presentation scheme  $P_\phi^{m,1}$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over the compactified Jacobian  $\bar{J}_C^m$ .*

**Proof.** By Proposition (6.2),  $\phi$  is a birational  $S$ -map with genus drop 1. So we may consider its presentation scheme  $P_\phi^{m,1}$ . Assume that the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section. Then  $\tilde{C} \times \bar{J}_C^m$  admits a universal sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . Set

$$\mathcal{F} := ((\phi \times 1)_*\tilde{\mathcal{I}})|_{(Q \times \bar{J}_C^m)}.$$

Then  $P_\phi^{m,1} = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$  by [5, Thm. 10, p. 21], because the genus drop along  $\phi$  is 1. We have to prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free of rank 2.

Set  $\tilde{Q} := \phi^{-1}Q$ , and denote its ideal by  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since  $\phi$  is a birational  $S$ -map with genus drop 1, by [5, Prop. 2, p. 17] the scheme  $\tilde{Q}$  is flat. In addition,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is invertible since  $\phi$  is the blowup map at  $Q$ . Consider the sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow p^*\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{I}}|_{(\tilde{Q} \times \bar{J}_C^m)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $p: \tilde{C} \times \bar{J}_C^m \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  is the projection. Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is invertible, and forming it commutes with changing  $S$ , and since  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  is torsion-free rank-1 on  $\tilde{C} \times \bar{J}_C^m / \bar{J}_C^m$ , the sequence is exact on the fibers over  $\bar{J}_C^m$ . Hence (it is exact, and) the third term is flat over  $\bar{J}_C^m$  by [8, IV<sub>3</sub> 11.3.7, p. 135]. So  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free.

To compute the rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. The rank of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the minimal number of generators at  $Q$  of any fiber of  $(\phi \times 1)_*\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  over  $\bar{J}_C^m$ . By Lemma (3.8), no fiber is invertible at  $Q$ , hence the rank is at least 2. On the other hand, the rank is at most 2 by Condition (iii) of Proposition (6.1). Thus the rank is 2, and the proof is complete.

**Lemma (6.4).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field,  $Q$  a double point of  $C$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$ . Denote by  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  the blowup at  $Q$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational map that is nontrivial over  $Q$ . Then there are three possibilities for the fiber  $\varphi^{-1}Q$ : two simple points, one simple point, or one double point. Moreover,  $\varphi$  factors through the blowup  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ .

(2) There exists a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  on  $\tilde{C}$  such that  $\phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  is not invertible at  $Q$ . If  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  exists, then it is unique.

(3) There exist a unique birational map  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  that is trivial off  $Q$ , and a unique torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  is invertible along  $\varphi^{-1}Q$  and  $\varphi_*\mathcal{I}^\dagger = \mathcal{I}$ .

**Proof.** To prove (1), form the sum of the multiplicities of the points in  $\varphi^{-1}Q$ . This sum is always at most the multiplicity of  $Q$  (look at the pullback of an element  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  such that the ideal  $u\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$  is of the same multiplicity as  $Q$ ). The first assertion follows immediately.

Consider the second assertion of (1) and also (2). Proposition (6.2) says that  $\tilde{C}$  is isomorphic over  $C$  to  $C^*$ , where  $C^* := \text{Spec}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}))$ . So the second assertion of (1) follows from Part (3) of Lemma (3.7), and (2) follows from Lemma (3.8).

Consider (3). Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible at  $Q$ . Then  $1_C$  is a suitable  $\varphi$ . There can be no other; indeed, any other would factor through  $\tilde{C}$  by (1), and so lead to a contradiction of (2). So suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is not invertible at  $Q$ . Then by (2) there is an  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  on  $\tilde{C}$  such that  $\phi_*\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $\delta$  be the genus drop to the (partial) normalization of  $C$  at  $Q$ , and proceed by induction on  $\delta$ . Suppose  $\delta = 1$ . Then  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  is the only nontrivial birational map trivial off  $Q$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  is invertible along  $\phi^{-1}Q$ . So (3) holds in this case.

Suppose  $\delta \geq 2$ . By Condition (v) of Proposition (6.1), the genus drop along  $\phi$  is 1. So (1) implies that  $\phi^{-1}Q$  consists of one double point. Hence, by induction, we may assume that (3) holds for  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . The existence of a  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  and of an  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  follows directly, and their uniqueness follows because any suitable  $\varphi$  must factor through an  $\varphi': C^\dagger \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  by (1), and any suitable  $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$  must satisfy  $\varphi'_*\mathcal{I}^\dagger = \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  by Lemma (3.4). Thus (3) holds, and the proof is complete.

**Lemma (6.5).** Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field, and  $Y \subset C$  a closed subset. Fix  $n$ . Then the following assertions hold.

(1) Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be a birational map that is trivial off  $Y$ . Then

$$\epsilon_\varphi(\bar{J}_{C^\dagger, \varphi^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_\varphi}) \subset \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,\delta_\varphi} - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,\delta_\varphi-1}.$$

(2) Let  $\varphi_1: C_1^\dagger \rightarrow C$  and  $\varphi_2: C_2^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be birational maps that are trivial off  $Y$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $\mu: C_1^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} C_2^\dagger$  such that  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \mu$  if and only if

$$\epsilon_{\varphi_1}(\bar{J}_{C_1^\dagger, \varphi_1^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_{\varphi_1}}) \cap \epsilon_{\varphi_2}(\bar{J}_{C_2^\dagger, \varphi_2^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_{\varphi_2}}) \neq \emptyset. \quad (6.5.1)$$

**Proof.** Let's prove (1). Let  $t \in \bar{J}_{C^\dagger, \varphi^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_\varphi}$  be a closed point, and  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger$  a corresponding sheaf on  $C^\dagger$ . Set  $\mathcal{K} := \varphi_*\mathcal{K}^\dagger$ . Since  $\varphi$  is trivial off  $Y$ , its conductor subscheme on  $C^\dagger$  is set-theoretically contained in  $\varphi^{-1}Y$ . So  $\mathcal{K}^{\dagger*}$  is invertible along the conductor subscheme. Then Part (1) of Proposition (4.3) says that  $\epsilon_\varphi(t) \in \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k}$  if and only if  $k \geq \delta_\varphi$ . Thus (1) is proved.

Let's prove (2). If an isomorphism  $\mu$  exists, then certainly (6.5.1) holds; in fact, the two images coincide.

Conversely, suppose (6.5.1) holds. Then there exist three torsion-free rank-1 sheaves,  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C$  and  $\mathcal{I}_1^\dagger$  on  $C_1^\dagger$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2^\dagger$  on  $C_2^\dagger$ , such that  $\mathcal{I}_i^\dagger$  is invertible along  $\varphi_i^{-1}Y$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \varphi_{i*}\mathcal{I}_i^\dagger$ .

Write  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the ideal of a closed subscheme  $Z \subset C$  with support in  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$  that is invertible along  $Y$ . Set  $\mathcal{J}_i^\dagger := \mathcal{J} \mathcal{O}_{C_i^\dagger}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible along  $Y$ , the pullback  $\varphi_i^* \mathcal{L}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C_i^\dagger$  that is invertible along  $\varphi_i^{-1} Y$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}_i^\dagger = \mathcal{J}_i^\dagger \otimes \varphi_i^* \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_i^\dagger$  is invertible along  $\varphi_i^{-1} Y$ , so is  $\mathcal{J}_i^\dagger$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{I} = \varphi_{i*} \mathcal{I}_i^\dagger$ , the projection formula yields  $\mathcal{J} = \varphi_{i*} \mathcal{J}_i^\dagger$ .

Let  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  be the blowup of  $C$  along  $Z$ . By the universal property, since  $\mathcal{J}_i^\dagger$  is invertible,  $\varphi_i$  factors through  $\phi$ . Let  $\psi_i: C_i^\dagger \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  denote the induced map. Set  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{J} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \psi_{i*} \mathcal{J}_i^\dagger$  since  $\mathcal{J} = \varphi_{i*} \mathcal{J}_i^\dagger$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_i^\dagger$  are invertible, therefore the comorphism  $\psi_i^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \rightarrow \psi_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{C_i^\dagger}$  is an isomorphism. So  $\psi_i$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\mu := \psi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_1$ . Then  $\mu: C_1^\dagger \rightarrow C_2^\dagger$  is the desired isomorphism. The proof is now complete.

**Proposition (6.6).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve over an algebraically closed field,  $Y \subset C$  a closed subset. Assume that  $Y$  consists of points of multiplicity at most 2. Fix  $k \geq 0$ . Then*

$$\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} = \coprod_{\{\varphi | \delta_\varphi \leq k\}} \epsilon_\varphi(\bar{J}_{C^\dagger, \varphi^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_\varphi})$$

where the disjoint union runs over all birational maps  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  that have genus drop  $\delta_\varphi$  at most  $k$  and that are trivial off  $Y$ .

**Proof.** By convention,  $\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,-1} = \emptyset$ ; see (4.1). By Part (1) of Proposition (4.4),

$$\bar{J}_C^n = \coprod_{k \geq 0} (\bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k} - \bar{J}_{C,Y}^{n,k-1}).$$

By Parts (1) and (2) of Lemma (6.5), we have only to prove that

$$\bar{J}_C^n = \bigcup_{\{\varphi | \delta_\varphi \geq 0\}} \epsilon_\varphi(\bar{J}_{C^\dagger, \varphi^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_\varphi}), \quad (6.6.1)$$

where the union runs over all birational maps  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  that are trivial off  $Y$ .

Let  $\delta_Y$  denote the genus drop to the (partial) normalization of  $C$  along  $Y$ . We'll prove (6.6.1) by induction on  $\delta_Y$ . If  $\delta_Y = 0$ , then  $C$  is smooth along  $Y$ . So  $1_C$  is the only birational map  $C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  that is trivial off  $Y$ . Moreover, every torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C$  is invertible along  $Y$ . Hence (6.6.1) holds in this case.

Suppose  $\delta_Y > 0$ . Let  $t$  be a closed point of  $\bar{J}_C^n$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  a corresponding sheaf. We have to construct a birational map  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  such that

$$t \in \epsilon_\varphi(\bar{J}_{C^\dagger, \varphi^{-1}Y}^{n-\delta_\varphi}). \quad (6.6.2)$$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is invertible at every point of  $Y$ . Take  $\varphi := 1_C$ . Then  $\delta_\varphi = 0$ . Now,  $C$  is Gorenstein along  $Y$  by Proposition (6.1). Hence  $\mathcal{K}^*$  too is invertible along  $Y$ . Hence (6.6.2) holds.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is not invertible at  $Q \in Y$ . Then  $Q$  is double. By Part (3) of Lemma (6.4), there exist a birational map  $\phi: C' \rightarrow C$  that is trivial off  $Q$ , and a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{K}'$  on  $C'$  such that  $\mathcal{K}'$  is invertible along  $\phi^{-1}Q$  and  $\phi_* \mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{K}$ . Set  $Y' := \phi^{-1}Y$ . By Part (1) of Lemma (6.4), the points of  $Y'$  have multiplicity at most 2 in  $C'$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is not invertible at  $Q$ , the genus drop along  $\phi$  is positive. Hence, the genus drop to the normalization of  $C'$  along  $Y'$  is strictly less than  $\delta_Y$ .

By induction, (6.6.1) holds for  $C'$  and  $Y'$ . So there exist a birational map  $\psi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C'$  that is trivial off  $Y'$ , and a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger$  such that  $\mathcal{K}^{\dagger*}$  is invertible

along  $\psi^{-1}Y'$  and  $\psi_*\mathcal{K}^\dagger = \mathcal{K}'$ . Set  $\varphi := \phi \circ \psi$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}^{\dagger*}$  is invertible along  $\varphi^{-1}Y$  and  $\varphi_*\mathcal{K}^\dagger = \mathcal{K}$ . So (6.6.2) holds. Thus (6.6.1) holds, and the proof is complete.

**Theorem (6.7).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve of arithmetic genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field. Fix  $n$ . Assume that  $C$  is Gorenstein. Then  $C$  has double points at worst if and only if  $\dim(\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}) \leq g - 2$ . If so, then equality holds, unless  $C$  is smooth or has just one singular point, which is either an ordinary double point or cusp; in the exceptional case,  $\bar{J}_C^n = \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}$ .*

**Proof.** First suppose  $\dim(\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}) \leq g - 2$ . Let  $Q \in C$  be a singular point. By Parts (2) and (3) of Lemma (3.7), there exists a unique birational map  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  that is trivial off  $Q$  and has  $\delta_\varphi = 1$ . The associated map  $\epsilon_\varphi$  embeds the Jacobian  $J_{C^\dagger}^{n-1}$  in  $\bar{J}_C^n$  as a locally closed subset of dimension  $g - 1$ . Hence this subset meets  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}$ . So there exist an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger$  on  $C^\dagger$ , a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $C$ , and a subsheaf  $\mathcal{I} \subset \omega_C$  of colength 1 such that  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is invertible and  $\varphi_*\mathcal{L}^\dagger \cong (\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{I}^*)^*$ .

As  $\omega_C$  is invertible, so is  $\mathcal{L}$ . Set  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{I} \otimes \omega_C^{-1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is the ideal of a point of  $C$ , and Equations (2.6.3) and (2.6.4) yield the equation,

$$(\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{I}^*)^* = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}. \quad (6.7.1)$$

By the projection formula,  $\mathcal{M} \cong \varphi_*(\mathcal{L}^\dagger \otimes \varphi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1})$ . So  $\mathcal{M}$  is contained in the conductor ideal of  $\varphi$ , whence must be equal to it because  $\varphi$  is nontrivial. Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is the ideal of  $Q$ . Moreover,  $\varphi^*\mathcal{M}$  has an invertible quotient, namely,  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger \otimes \varphi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . So  $\varphi$  factors through the blowup  $\phi$  of  $C$  at  $Q$ ; hence,  $\varphi = \phi$  because  $\delta_\varphi = 1$ . By Proposition (6.1), the point  $Q$  is double.

Conversely, suppose that every singular point  $Q$  of  $C$  is double. Then Proposition (6.6) implies that

$$\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1} = \bigcup_{\{\varphi | \delta_\varphi \geq 2\}} \epsilon_\varphi \circ \iota(J_{C^\dagger}^{2g-2-n+\delta_\varphi}). \quad (6.7.2)$$

Hence, either  $\bar{J}_C^n = \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}$  or else there exists a  $\varphi$  with  $\delta_\varphi \geq 2$ .

Suppose that  $\varphi$  has  $\delta_\varphi \geq 2$ . Then  $\varphi$  factors through the blowup  $\phi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  at  $Q$  by Proposition (6.2) and Part (3) of Proposition (3.7). Moreover,  $\delta_\phi = 1$  by Proposition (6.1), and  $\phi^{-1}Q$  is a double point by Part (1) of Lemma (6.4). Form the blowup  $\phi'$  of  $\tilde{C}$  at  $\phi^{-1}Q$ . Then  $\delta_{\phi'} = 1$  by Proposition (6.1). Set  $\varphi' := \phi \circ \phi'$ . Then  $\delta_{\varphi'} = 2$ . Now,  $\epsilon_\varphi \circ \iota$  is an embedding, and the dimension of  $J_{C^\dagger}^{2g-2-n+\delta_\varphi}$  is  $g - \delta_\varphi$ . Therefore, (6.7.2) yields  $\dim(\bar{J}_C^n - \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}) = g - 2$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $\bar{J}_C^n = \bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1}$ . Then every  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  has genus drop at most 1. In particular, the normalization map does. Hence  $C$  is smooth or has just one singular point, either an ordinary double point or a cusp. Thus the theorem is proved.

**Corollary (6.8).** *Let  $C$  be a projective integral curve of arithmetic genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field. Fix  $n$ . Assume that  $C$  is Gorenstein. Let  $V \subset \bar{J}_C^n$  be the image of the Abel map of bidegree  $(n+1, 1)$ ,*

$$A_C: J_C^{n+1} \times C \rightarrow \bar{J}_C^n, \text{ defined by } (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \mapsto \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}.$$

*Then  $C$  has double points at worst if and only if  $\dim(\bar{J}_C^n - V) \leq g - 2$ .*

**Proof.** Since the dualizing sheaf  $\omega$  is invertible, sending a point to the tensor product of its ideal with  $\omega$ , we get a natural isomorphism,

$$\sigma: C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}_\omega^1.$$

Moreover, a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathcal{L}^\star$  is. Hence,  $1_{\bar{J}_C^{n+1}} \times \sigma$  induces an isomorphism,

$$\chi: J_C^{n+1} \times C \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{C,\omega}^{n+1,1}.$$

Equation (6.7.1) says in other words that  $\alpha_\omega \circ \chi = A_C$ . Hence  $\bar{J}_{C,C}^{n,1} = V$ . Therefore, Theorem (6.7) yields the assertion.

### References

- [1] A. ALTMAN, A. IARROBINO AND S. KLEIMAN, Irreducibility of the compactified Jacobian, in “Real and complex singularities. Proceedings, Oslo 1976,” P. Holm (ed.), Sijthoff & Noordhoff, 1977, pp. 1–12.
- [2] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Compactifying the Jacobian, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82(6)** (1976), 947–49.
- [3] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Compactifying the Picard scheme II, *Amer. J. Math.* **101** (1979), 10–41.
- [4] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Compactifying the Picard scheme, *Adv. Math.* **35** (1980), 50–112.
- [5] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, The presentation functor and the compactified Jacobian, in “The Grothendieck Festschrift. Vol. I,” P. Cartier, L. Illusie, N.M. Katz, G. Laumon, Y. Manin, K.A. Ribet (eds.) *Birkhäuser Prog. Math.* **86** (1990), 15–32.
- [6] D. EISENBUD, Commutative Algebra, Springer GTM **150** (1995).
- [7] E. ESTEVES, M. GAGNÉ AND S. KLEIMAN, Autoduality of the compactified Jacobian, preprint.
- [8] A. GROTHENDIECK AND J. DIEUDONNÉ, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES, O<sub>III</sub>, Vol. 11, 1961; III<sub>2</sub>, Vol. 17, 1963; IV<sub>3</sub>, Vol. 28, 1966; and IV<sub>4</sub>, Vol. 32, 1967.
- [9] S. KLEIMAN AND H. KLEPPE, Reducibility of the compactified Jacobian, *Compositio Math.* **43(2)** (1981), 277–280.
- [10] C. REGO, The compactified Jacobian, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **13(2)** (1980), 211–223.
- [11] O. ZARISKI AND P. SAMUEL, Commutative Algebra, Vol II, Van Nostrand, 1960.